GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA TAXICAB COMMISSION REGULAR FULL COMMISSION MEETING

DC TAXICAB COMMISSION OFFICE 2041 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue, SE, Suite 204 Washington, D.C.,

> WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2008

PRESENT:

LEON J. SWAIN, Chairman SANDRA C. ALLEN, Commissioner A. CORNELIUS BAKER, Commissioner INDER RAJ PAHWA, Commissioner STANLEY W. TAPSCOTT, Commissioner THERESA N. TRAVIS, Commissioner

DOREEN THOMPSON, ESQ., General Counsel and Secretary

1

9

10 11 12

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2		
3	ITEM	PAGE
4		
5	Introduction	4
6		
7	Review of prior meeting minutes	
8		
9	Handicapped taxicabs	117
0		
1	Pre-licensing testing	123
12		
13	Permanent rate increase	139
4		
5	Adjourn	

www.nealrgross.com

MINUTES

1. CALL TO ORDER AND QUORUM DETERMINATION

Leon J. Swain, Chairman, presiding called the meeting to order at 10:25am and called a moment of silence. Doreen Thompson, the General counsel determined that there was a quorum for voting purposes and the chairperson acknowledged that he had been contacted by commissioner Heinemann , who as he indicated was out with the flu. Chairperson Swain discussed rules for the meeting such as stepping up to make comments at the table to allow the transcriber to more easily transcribe the statements and to say and spell your name for the transcript.

2. **COMMISSIONERS COMMENTS**

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Since it is a new year, do any of the other Commissioners have anything they'd like to say before we get started? Ms. Travis?

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Not at this point, not yet.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Pahwa?

COMMISSIONER: Happy new year to all of you. I hope this year will be better than last.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Ditto. CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Baker?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: No, just happy new year, and I'd like to endorse the Chairman's comments at the beginning of the meeting, that it is a new year, and I hope that we can all start off fresh, respectfully engaged. There are a lot of difficult issues that will be addressed over the next few months, and I think that the 1,000 comments that we've received shows a high level of citizen interest in the Commission, and it really is a testing time for us, to really move forward and to do the work well for the citizens in the District and to be a model.

This affects our citizens, our tourist industry, and our businesses, and so, I just hope that we'll all really work well and in a professional way. And so, I know during the break, I really considered whether this is the best use of my time, and I hope it proves to be.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott?

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Happy new year to everyone, and you all can depend on me working with each and every one, the Council and the drivers of the taxicabs of D.C.

3.	VOTE ON MINUTES

Let's see, what I'm going to talk about is, the comment period closed yesterday at 5:00 p.m. There were a -- over 1,000 comments were submitted and so, now, I'm in the process of gathering all of the comments from the different places. I know some of them went to the Mayor's Office, some of them went to David Vacca at Jim Graham's office, and I'm checking with the other Council members to see if they had any also, so we can make sure that we put them all in one location and go ahead and start answering them. So, we're going to be doing that -- in fact, we've already started that process.

MS. ROBINSON: I'm Carolyn Robinson, Yellow Cab 800. I just have one quick question, not to --

COURT REPORTER: Could you come to the front? It's really important.

MS. ROBINSON: Carolyn Robinson, Yellow Cab 800. I just have a quick question, in regards to the comments. On the last meeting, which was in December, you stated that you were going to answer all of the questions that we posed in the January 9th meeting.

Being though you just said that there were over 1,000 comments and you haven't got them all coordinated, it's possible that you will not answer any of the questions today?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I don't remember saying that I would answer questions at this meeting. I mean, the comment period just closed yesterday.

MS. ROBINSON: Well, the questions that we posed in December, you said that you would answer on January 9^{th} .

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I will answer all of the comments at the same time, ma'am.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you.
MS. ROBINSON: So, that's later, correct?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It's not now.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, we have -- did everyone receive the minutes?

ALL: Yes. CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	1
	1 2
	2
	3
	4
	5
	,
	C
	7
	Ċ
	C
	9
1	C
1	1
1	2
1	2
1	ر
1	4
I	5
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	C
2	1
2	1
2	4
2	3
2	1
2	7
2	<u>ح</u>
2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	6
2	7
2	8
2	C
_	,
3	(
3	1
2	1
3	3
3	
3	5
	_
3	6
3	
3	7
3	
3	78
3 3 4	7 8 9
3 3 4	7 8 9
3 3 4 4	7 8 9 0
3 3 4 4 4	7 8 9 0 1 2
3 3 4 4 4 4	7 8 9 0 1 2
3 3 4 4 4 4	7 8 9 0 1 2

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Why was there so many different copies? June, I think I got three different copies. September had two or three different copies.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, I guess they just wanted to make sure that you got copies.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: There was some confusion because I didn't know which copy we were to --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, we have -- we sent out several months, May, June, July, September, November and December.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Okay, I'll tell you what I'm trying to say -- and I'm not --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, I understand what you're trying to say --

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I mean, you could have -- we don't know which minutes that we are using. All of these, I got within the last week or less. It's May 9th here, May 9th, June 13th, June 13th, June 13th, June 13th. I don't know if changes have been made to these or --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, I sincerely doubt that any changes made to them are -- I can't say -- I'm pretty -- there hasn't been any changes made to them, just duplicate mailings to you, sir.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Okay, they're duplicates?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I'm pretty sure that they're duplicate mailings to you.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Okay, but you don't --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, I appreciate you bringing it to our attention. Okay, there was -- let's talk about the May minutes. Were there any changes that need to be made to the minutes from the month of May?

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I had one.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: In A1, new companies and -- we were talking for the last year and we've asked on many occasions, before all of this got blown out of proportion, about it -- a limited number of cab companies being put on the street.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: And we was told that we could not stop it, that there was no law to stop putting cabs on the street, and from that, I think we put somewhere close to 50 cabs on the street in the last year.

But now, I understand we're not issuing no more. We stopped it. So, if it couldn't have been stopped then, how can it be stopped now? What law is being --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You're saying that we're not

NEAL R. GROSS

33

34

1	processing companies?
2	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I'm asking, are we
3	processing any new companies now?
4	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, we are, we will accept
5	applications, and we will send those to be reviewed by your Committee,
6	yes, sir.
7	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: So, we're not having a
8	shut down of new companies?
9	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, sir.
10	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Because I was told,
11	some companies that's already been in the process and been approved, can't
12	get the license and can't open up no more new companies.
13	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You may have some individuals
14	who can't provided you have the appropriate number of vehicles or things
15	of that nature, but nobody's application has been just summarily refused.
16	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: But we are still putting
17	and can put new companies on the street?
18	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir, as long as they meet the
19	requirements, yes, sir, we can.
20	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Okay.
21	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: If you do know of a case like
22	that, just please have them contact me. I would be happy to look into it and
23	get back with you, sir.
24	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Okay.
25	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Anything else? Anybody find
26	anything else in the May minutes that they would like to have reviewed?
27	COMMISSIONER BAKER: On that one minute, on just
28	the May?
29	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Right, we're doing May right
30	now, sir.
31	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: What have we done on
32	enforcement of this loitering? Have we been able to do anything on that?
33	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I'm sorry, sir?
34	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: On the loitering
35	situation we had, where it was being charged as a
36	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: We were trying to do away with
37	making it a criminal misdemeanor
38	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Right.
39	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: and going to a civil matter.
40	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Right.
41	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, that's we are doing May.
42	He was just asking about the loitering, that we had discussed the last time,
43	right. That's being sent back to the Committee. There seemed to be some

42

unreadiness on it, the last time we attempted to deal with it. So, it's going

1	back to the Committee, so we can get a better understanding, so we can go
2	ahead and present it and vote on it again, or present it for a vote, sir.
3	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: And what is this sport
4	utility, SUVs? How do we stand on those right now?
5	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You stand exactly where you left
6	it at the last time, sir. You voted I believe that prior to my coming here,
7	the Commission had decided about SUVs, the size of SUVs, that they were
8	interested in. That has not changed.
9	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Are we permitting
10	SUVs or not?
11	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: According to your vote, SUVs,
12	you were not doing the Escalades and you were not doing the Yukon
13	Denalis. You were doing the smaller SUVs.
14	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: That one goes over my
15	head a little bit.
16 17	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: All right, is there any
18	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Is the question was
19	whether or not SUVs have been approved? CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
20	CHAIRMAN SWAIN. Tes. COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: And you're saying that it
21	was voted that
22	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, I'm saying that what I have
23	saw is that the information I received is that you were not doing the large
24	SUV, the Denalis, the Yukon I'm sorry, the Yukon Denalis, the
25	Escalades. But right now, can we go ahead and deal with the May minutes?
26	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Yes, let's get the minutes
27	out of the way.
28	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Are there any changes for the
29	May minutes? I will entertain a motion.
30	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So moved.
31	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, that was the
32	reason I brought that up. The SUV thing was in the May minutes.
33	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Sir?
34	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: That's the reason I
35	brought it up.
36	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, we have not changed
37	anything that was in there. What page are you on, Mr. Tapscott?
38	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I'm on page four.
39	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Sandra, he's at page four. Thank
40	you very much, Mr. Wright. COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So,
41	your decision was to send it back to Committee
42	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, it was.
43	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you. Then it's to go
44	back to the Committee, and they're in charge of that.

NEAL R. GROSS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So, that's the decision?
3	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, it was.
4	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No action taken.
5	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: It's been pointed out to
6	me that SUVs are being put on it, as of now, and that's the reason why l
7	questioned that. New SUVs are being put on the street.
8 9	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, I tell you, I appreciate you
10	bringing that COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Could you speak up, so we
11	can understand? I can't hear a thing you're saying.
12	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott was saying that he
13	understands that new SUVs are being placed on the street, and I told him
14	that I'm glad he brought that to my attention and I will check into it and get
15	back with him.
16	I'll contact DMV, and I'll also contact the inspection
17	station, and make sure they're not summarily putting SUVs on the street, sir.
18	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: And get in touch with
19	DMV to let them know what to be on there or not because I remember
20	one time, they were saying they didn't know what cars could go through and
21	what couldn't.
22	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I will make sure that I get in
23	contact with DMV, ma'am, and the inspection station.
22 23 24 25	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: My last question is, did
25	the
26	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: What page, sir?
27	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, page five. Did
28	the rule making go through has it been approved by drivers, riding with
29	dogs in the car? Where do we stand on that?
30	MS. THOMPSON: It's in the proposed rule making that
31	was done in October.
32	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Right.
33	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Is the gentleman still riding
34	with the dog in his car?
35	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I don't know. I just
36	wondered whether it had been approved or disapproved or what or where
37	it was.
38	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Unanimously according
39	to these minutes, it was unanimously approved, as proposed rule making
40	which would amend 31DMR and a civil fine infraction by imposing a \$15
41	civil fine on taxi drivers who transport an animal or pet of any kind in a
42 43	vehicle for hire, while holding the vehicle out for hire or transporting
- 1	TOTAL CONTROL OF THE WAS NOTED TO THE HIGH HIS TANK THE TANK COLUMN

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: It was what?

44

1	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: It was
2	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The rule making.
3	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Rule making.
4	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: So, it hasn't been
5	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: It was an action taken,
6	that's what the that's what it says.
7	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: So, it's not out of rule
8	making yet then, is it? It hasn't been voted by a full Commission?
9	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, sir.
10	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I don't know.
11	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, how could it be
12	in two places?
13	MS. THOMPSON: Excuse me, Mr. Tapscott, it was
14	voted out of the Commission, as proposed rule making
15	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes, and then
16	MS. THOMPSON: and as I just said, it went to the
17	register. It was part of the last rule making, the October 17 th rule making.
18	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, that's what I'm
19	saying, it don't have to go back through it already been out and voted by
20	the full Commission?
21	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.
22	MS. THOMPSON: Right.
23	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Okay.
24	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Anything else on the May? Do
25	you want to vote on this or do you want to do them all at the same time?
26	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Why don't we just do them
27	all at once, after we've made the
28	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I think do them one at a
29	time, because
30	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, we're moving on to the
31	June minutes.
32	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman.
33	Are we voting in block on the minutes or and what if there's a month that
34	there are problems with?
35	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: We will go ahead and if there's
36	months that there are some serious problems, we'll just go ahead and pass
37	those by. But we're going to go ahead and try to vote on these as a block,
38	sir, if we can.
39	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Okay.
40	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you, sir.
41	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Mr. Chairman, can we
42	I've got to be recognized first.
43	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Allen?
44	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I promised that in 2008, I
	•

1	was going to do that.
2	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you, Ms. Allen.
3	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: We're going to vote on
4	them in block and we'll make the necessary changes, as we go through
5	them, and then when we vote on them, we'll vote on them as amended?
6	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: As amended, yes.
7	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, all right.
8	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Any changes for the June
9	minutes?
10	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: There was a couple of
11	questions asked by Captain Reese and his Sergeant. Was all of those been
12	answered?
13	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Which questions are you
14	referring to, Mr. Tapscott?
15	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Let me see, Ted King.
16	I guess it's really too much. Sergeant Lee, I don't understand his role in this
17	meeting, if he is at future meetings, he is to observe and identify any
18	enforcement problems in 3D.
19	Sergeant Lee indicated that if any of the drivers have any
20	concerns, including enforcement concerns, which is Sergeant Lee still
21	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Is Sergeant Lee still what, sir?
22	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: In 3D, working with
23	us?
24	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I have no idea if Sergeant Lee is
25	still in the 3 rd District. I have been talking to Chief Burke, and Chief Burke
26	is now requiring that the master patrol officers now become the liaison
27	persons within the District.
28	I do know that Captain Reese is no longer there. He was
29	transferred.
30	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (w/out microphone)
31	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: As soon as we finish this, I'll be
32	more than happy to come to you, sir. I'm trying to get this out of the way.
33	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (w/out microphone)
34	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: We're going through month by
35	month, sir. Sir, we're making the corrections and if he has a question, we're
36	here to answer his questions.
37	All right, do we have any further any further
38	corrections for the March I'm sorry, for the June 13 th minutes?
39	(No verbal response)
40	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, let's move on to the next
41	month, please, July 25 th .
42	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Mr. Chairman?
43	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
44	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I just got one technical

(202) 234-4433

1	question first. If you look at the minutes that we were sent, on the cover
2	pages, May, June, July and on each on the front of each, there's a different
3 4	formatting style. They change when we get to I guess, September. I
5	didn't know if we changed firms or there was
6	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, sir, we're still using the same
7	firm.
8	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Okay, I just think just if
9	we can get some consistency, and then, I guess, just a matter of technicality.
0	I don't know the answer to this, but on the July meeting, it says "Full
1	Commission Meeting." All the rest say "Regular Commission Meeting."
2	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, sir. I will make that note
13	for you. Thank you.
4	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: What is full and what is
15	regular?
16	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: They're the same. Any
17	corrections for the July minutes?
18	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Going back to the May
19	meeting, could you read on page three, item B?
20	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: May meeting, item B.
21	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Seems like I'm not
22 23 24 25	reading right. The May meeting, we tabled it.
23	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You said item B?
24	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: What?
	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott had a question
26	about the May meeting, item B. Go ahead, Mr. Tapscott.
27 28	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Doreen Thompson, Secretary and General Counsel, denied two issues which could be addressed
29	if the Commission was ready to take action on them. One item was a vote
30	on May 2007 Commission meeting minutes, as to the determination that the
31	Commission had not received the minutes. The item was tabled.
32	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: What page are you reading,
33	so they can see it?
34	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Page three of the July.
35	No, but they're referring back to May meeting, we tabled it.
36	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Mr. Tapscott?
37	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Yes, ma'am.
38	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Where did we table it in
39	May? Where do you where is that?
10	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: In B, May minutes and
11	change to loitering legislation.
12	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: When?
13	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: He's saying that we tabled it
14	in May. So, I'm trying to find it in the May minutes

1	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It's not in there.
2	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: to see where it was
3	tabled, that's all.
4	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Mr. Chair?
5	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.
6	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I don't know what the issue
7	is, but what the action and I wasn't at the July meeting. But what the
8	action that's recorded here, is that the May meeting May minutes were
9	originally presented in July.
10	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER BAKER: But they were tabled
12	because Commissioners had not yet read them.
13	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Right.
14	COMMISSIONER BAKER: And so, I mean, that's just
15	
16	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: A statement.
17	COMMISSIONER BAKER: It just records the action
18	that was done there.
19	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you.
20	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I'm not sure what the issue
21	becomes.
22 23 24 25	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Now, this says May
23	minutes and changes to loitering legislation.
24	COMMISSIONER BAKER: They were both tabled in
25	July.
26 27	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: In July, that was my
27	question.
28	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Right.
29	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: So, when did we vote
30	on them for them to be sent over for that was my question.
31	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, I can check on that
32	for you, sir. I do not have that information in front of me, but I will check
33	on that.
34	MS. THOMPSON: Excuse me, the question is when did
35	we vote on the loitering?
36	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Yes, and also, on the
37 38	MS. THOMPSON: That would have been either in the
39	November meeting. CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It's in November?
40	MS. THOMPSON: It's in the November meeting. It was
40 41	tabled then, and then it was raised again in November. So, the November
12	minutes, you'll see a discussion about de-criminalizing
13	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Then it was tabled again.
14	MS. THOMPSON: And in fact, it wasn't tabled, in
	1 112. III 2011. Illia III 100t, it wasiit moled, iii

1	essence. It was transferred back to the panel for some language in
2	November, for the language.
3	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, but does the minutes
4	say it was tabled?
5	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The July minutes, in July.
6	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Does it indicate it was
7	tabled in July?
8	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: And so, in order for it to
11	come back off the table because it wasn't tabled during that time, it was
12	tabled?
13	MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
14	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay. So, in order for it to
15	come back, how do we bring it back, once it's been tabled?
16	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It was already brought back in the
17	November meeting.
18	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: How? If an issue is tabled,
19	without a time certain, how do you bring it back to the table, that's all I'm
20	asking, because tabling means that you're through with it.
21	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I will check that out.
22	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you, I was just
23	asking.
24	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I understand, Ms. Allen.
25	MS. ROBINSON: My question is why couldn't this have
26	been discussed in executive meeting, the minutes, instead of taking our time
27	up with the other prescient issues we have other meetings and stuff to
28	attend?
29	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: We didn't have an
30	executive meeting.
31	MS. ROBINSON: So that's what happened. We're
32	falling short, beginning of the year. Okay, I promise
33	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Robinson, I'm not asking for
34	your promises anymore. The September meeting, any corrections to the
35	September minutes?
36	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I'll comment on that
37	meeting
38	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, sir, any corrections to the
39	September meeting.
40	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Questions?
41	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: This is a correction, sir.
42	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You said you had a comment.
43	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, I would put it as
44	a comment, but I'll make it as a correction, if you want to. We had a terrible

	l
	2
	3
	4
	5
	,
	6
	7
	8
	0
	9
1	9 0
1	1
	1
1	2
1	3
1	,
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	6 7
1	7
1	8
1	^
1 1 1	9 0
2	0
2	1
2	
2	3
_	4
2	5
2	6
~	7
2	/
2	8
2 2 2 2	a
~	8 9 0
3	0
3	1
2	2
3	3
3	4
	5
3	6
2	7
	8
3	9
	0
4	
4	
1	2
4	
1	4

meeting on September 11th, concerning the meetings and everything, and I read the minutes for September 11th and I don't see where my name was reflected in anything. I know I made votes. I know I had discussions. We all had discussions and votes on that meeting, and I see nothing in here.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you very much for your comment. Anything -- yes, Ms. Allen?

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: On page nine, section 2B, it says motion passed, Commissioner Allen and Baker, parenthesis "present," and at no point in that meeting, did I vote present, that's one thing.

Second, I was the one that made the motion, so there's no mention of the fact that the motion was -- how the motion was made.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You said page nine?

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Page nine, B, at the very

bottom, yes.

MS. THOMPSON: The present is only a reference to Commissioner Baker.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: How can you tell that? If you were reading that, what would --

MS. THOMPSON: Because there's a comma after your name, it says 'Baker', parenthesis, present, comma, but we can certainly correct it, to make sure it just says --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: And to indicate who made the motion.

MS. THOMPSON: There is a discussion on the motion, above it, if I recall, and it says -- we can certainly -- it doesn't say the motion, so we can do that.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you.

MS. THOMPSON: So it needs to be corrected to say it's

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Allen's motion.

MS. THOMPSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Baker?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: I just want to associate with Mr. Tapscott's motion -- I mean, comments, and I recognize that it was a really difficult meeting and that the normal set up -- I mean, the special set up made it very challenging.

I really don't find these minutes of the meeting as a summary of the meeting. Some of our statements are summarized. Certainly, it isn't a flow of the discussion.

The votes on the various motions are not recorded. Even the motion that had passed, I abstained from, it's unrecorded -- it should be recorded with Mr. Heinemann and Mr. Pawha, and I guess I'm -- this is why I asked the question earlier.

If one of the months has a problem, what do we do if

NEAL R. GROSS

87

88

89

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 2.2.

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

93

94

95

96 l

L	
	we're voting on them on block?
	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, why don't we just go ahead
	and pass on the September motion, because I'll be right up front, I do know
	that the transcripts were in excess of 400 pages and because of the acoustics in that building, that was a lot of information that was lost, and we did the
	in that building, that was a lot of information that was lost, and we did the best we could in trying to decipher what we had, but let's go ahead.
	I'll let you what, we'll make another effort at doing the
	ones for the September. So, if we can just go ahead and delete the ones
	from September. Ms. Allen?
	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: When we had said at the
	beginning that we would do we would pass them in block with
	amendments and we could pass them in blocks and still indicate it was
	necessary corrections
	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I understand that, but
	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: rather than going back
	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, yes
	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: and doing this whole
	thing all over again.
	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Right, I understand. I guess
	the challenge, Commissioner Allen, is that I mean, the corrections would
	be so extensive
	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
	COMMISSIONER BAKER: for this one that I just
	don't think we can sit here and edit this document today, and I mean, and I or we just may need to just say, there's a meeting summary. It may not
	be possible to ever have perfect minutes for this meeting.
	So, I just think we have to have a decision on what that
	is. I don't have an opinion. I just think that these are not accurate.
	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Definitely.
	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, that's the
	MS. THOMPSON: For the record, there's a difference
	between inaccuracy and someone saying they want something added to the
	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Or they're not complete,
	that's
	MS. THOMPSON: Okay, that's a better statement.
	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, all right. Okay, we'll go
	ahead and we'll pass on that, but November, please.
	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I couldn't hear what he
	was saying. Can you repeat that?
	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: He was saying that there were
	some errors in the September. We're going to pass on the September
ı	meeting minutes and go to the November. Any corrections for the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

November minutes? Ms. Allen?

1	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I have none.
2	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay. Mr. Wright, thank you
3	Yes, Mr. Chubb?
4	MR. CHUBB: Just a suggestion, since we're going to
5	start the meeting off a little differently
6	COURT REPORTER: This is off the record comments?
7	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, this is off the record. Go
8	ahead.
9	(OTR comments)
0	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Baker?
1	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: We're back on, sir.
3	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Just one comment for the
4	future meetings.
5	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.
6	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I like the table of contents
17	but I think it would also be helpful to have the original agendas put into the
8	minutes or at the face of the minutes, because right now, for example, like
9	item five, it says "time and distance meter proposed rule making, special
20	meeting in December." That's a summary of a discussion, but it's not the
21	agenda item. Special meeting came as a result of the agenda item.
22	But if you're reading that, it implies that that was the
22 23 24 25	original agenda item, and so, I just want to make sure we know what the
24	agenda was versus what are the actions that are taken as a result of the
25	agenda.
26	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir, add the agenda to the
27	minutes, yes, sir.
28	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: And that brings up a
29	question that I think you brought up at our last meeting that would eliminate
30	that problem.
31	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Right.
32	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay. I hear you, Mr. Tapscot
33	and Mr. Baker. Are there any other corrections for November?
34	All right, let's move on to December, please. Any
35	corrections for December?
36	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Mr. Chairman?
37	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, Ms. Allen.
38	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to
39	make a motion that we adopt the minutes, excluding the September
10	minutes, with the amendments that have come forth.
11	COMMISSIONER PAWHA: I second.
12	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The motion has been made and
13	seconded by motion made by Ms. Allen and seconded by Mr. Pawha
14	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: To accept

1	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: to accept all minutes, with the
2	corrections that were added and to go back and we'll take another look at
3	the September minutes.
4	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes, with the exception of
5	September.
6	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The exception of September.
7	Any other questions? All right, all in favor?
8	ALL: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Opposed?
10	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Abstained.
11	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Abstained, too.
12	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, was that you, Ms. Travis,
13	that abstained?
14	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Yes.
15	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, and Mr. Tapscott, okay.
16	Okay, the status of the meter rule making, let's jump into that right quick.
17	As you know, the comment period ended yesterday at 5
18	o'clock. We're in the process now of reviewing the comments.
19	There was yesterday at about 3 o'clock, there were over
20	1,000 comments that had been submitted. I do know comments were sent
21	to Jim Graham's office, to the Mayor's office and also, they were e-mailed
22	to this office. So, we're putting them all together and we are now going
23	over well, going over the comments, and we hope to have that done by
24	the end of the week.
25	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Mr. Chairman?
26	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Baker.
27	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Two questions. One, as
28	we've recorded in the November meeting minutes, the Commissioners were
29	to be provided a copy
30	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.
31	COMMISSIONER BAKER: of the public comments.
32	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.
33	COMMISSIONER BAKER: And I understand that they
34	are considerable. So, I just wanted to know what fashion will that be done
35	and when we can expect them.
36	Then my second question is, the Council already met
37	before the public comment period was over, on January 3 rd
38	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Right.
39	COMMISSIONER BAKER: and had issued its
40	Committee report. What is the effect of the Council's action then on the
41	final rule making process?
42	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: As far as I know, there is no
43	effect with the final rule making. I did get a chance to speak to someone
44	from Mr. Graham's office, but I also understand that yesterday, Mr. Barry
- 1	I and the second se

1	
1	
2	
<i>3</i>	
4 5	
6	
7	
γ 8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27	
28 20	
29 30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
35 36	
37	
37 38 39	
40	
41	

Councilman Barry, he also submitted some legislation yesterday, and I'm trying to get a copy of that. It's not on the website. I was not able to get it this morning, but I will get you a copy.

Going back to the comment section, the comments are going to be both electronically and in paper form. So, and like you said, there are -- there's over 1,000, so it's going to take a little while, but I will make sure that the Commissioners get them as soon as they're ready.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Great, and then I guess just a final question, in terms of process on the rule making, and I appreciate your sending us the actual Mayor's offer order, which to me, seemed It still didn't answer my primary question, appropriate. which is that obviously, the Mayor would delegate to the Chairman of the Commission. The question is for you, as the Chairman of the Commission, how would you like the input of the Commissioners, and in what format will we do that?

Should we each write you individually? Do you expect that we'll have a discussion as the Commission? That was really my question.

You're the Chair, and it's your Commission, and you can either consult your Commission or not consult your Commission, but that's your -- and that just tells us what we need to know and how -- what we need to prepare as work.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, Mr. Baker, I do plan on after -- as soon as I get these minutes -- I'm sorry, get these comments all tabulated and we go ahead and make the final adjustments, I do plan on calling the Commission together, presenting you with a copy, also, we're going to talk about them and see if you have any additional comments, and then I'll make the decision from there, sir.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: All right.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you. Hold on, Mr.

Tapscott?

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Yes, I know this is not in your purview, but how come in the meeting that Mr. Graham held, no one that I know of -- or I wasn't, notified that there was going to be a meeting --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I wasn't either.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: You wasn't either?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I wasn't either. I found out about it 11 o'clock that night, when someone faxes -- Ms. Thompson called me and said, "Hey, they're on TV."

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, I called Jim Vacca that morning --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: David Vacca.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: David Vacca, I called

111

42

43

44

NEAL R. GROSS

112 COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 113 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. 114 (202) 234-4433

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	him that morning on a different matter, and I asked him, I said, "How's					
2	things going?" He said, "Well, pretty good." I said, "Is there any meetings					
3	or anything scheduled on anything?"					
4	He didn't say yes or no, but he said, "I'll get back with					
5	you on that later," and the meeting was the next hour.					
6	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You pretty much got what I got.					
7	So, Ms. Travis?					
8	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Yes, I am just saying, it's					
9	just ridiculous.					
10	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, Mr. Wright?					
11	MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman of the Commission, I'd					
12	like to know if this Commission is going to make a recommendation to the					
13	Mayor. Do you know? You may not know, is he going to make his					
14	decision prior to the Commission getting your comments?					
15	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No.					
16	MR. WRIGHT: All right, then there was an article in The					
17	Post, I think, on December 22 nd . I was out of town, but I got a call about it,					
18	and I was rushing yesterday when I wrote my comments, and I didn't get a					
19	chance to include that.					
20	Is that that article, I'm sure you've seen it. Was that					
21	going to be included in the comments you're going to deal with?					
22	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The article featuring the picture					
23	of Mr. Tapscott?					
24	MR. WRIGHT: Yes, and the survey.					
25	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.					
26	MR. WRIGHT: That's going to					
27	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: All your information that you					
28	submitted yesterday					
29	MR. WRIGHT: Well, I didn't submit that.					
30	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, you submitted the					
31	MR. WRIGHT: I submitted the results of the survey.					
32	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.					
33	MR. WRIGHT: Which is part of the story, but that sort					
34	of was a separate article all together, which is, in my mind, should be					
35	considered by the Commission. Whether they do anything about it or not					
36	it's valuable information.					
	They did come into my house and look at the surveys, so					
3/ 1	they've verified it.					
37 38						
38	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I understand that. Mr Wright					
38 39	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I understand that, Mr. Wright. MR. WRIGHT: But I'm saving, I did not personally					
38 39 40	MR. WRIGHT: But I'm saying, I did not personally					
38 39 40 41	MR. WRIGHT: But I'm saying, I did not personally include that in mine, which I should have, but I was in a rush then. I was					
38 39 40 41 42	MR. WRIGHT: But I'm saying, I did not personally include that in mine, which I should have, but I was in a rush then. I was wondering, would you would the Commission look at that article as part					
38 39 40 41	MR. WRIGHT: But I'm saying, I did not personally include that in mine, which I should have, but I was in a rush then. I was wondering, would you would the Commission look at that article as part consider that along with the other comments, that's really what I'm saying.					
38 39 40 41 42 43	MR. WRIGHT: But I'm saying, I did not personally include that in mine, which I should have, but I was in a rush then. I was wondering, would you would the Commission look at that article as part					

118

	2
	3
	ر
	4
	5
	J
	6
	_
	/
	8
	_
	9
1	r
1	U
1	1
1	2
1 1 1	3
1	1
1	4
1	5
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	6
1	7
1	ć
1	Č
1	g
2	r
_	U
2	l
2	2
2	1
2	J
2	4
2	4
2	4
2 2 2	4 5
2 2	4 5 6
2 2 2 2	4 5 7
2 2 2 2	4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2	4 5 7 8
2 2 2 2 2 2	4 5 6 7 8 9
2 2 2 2 2 2	45 67 89
2 2 2 2 2 3	4 5 6 7 8 9
2 2 2 2 2 3 3	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2
2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	4567890126
2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3	4567890123
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	$\frac{4}{5}$ $\frac{6}{6}$ $\frac{7}{8}$ $\frac{8}{9}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{3}{4}$
3	4
3	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
3	4
3 3	4 5 6
3 3 3	4 5 7
3 3 3	4 5 7
3 3 3 3	4 5 6 7 8
3 3 3 3 3	4 5 6 7 8 9
3 3 3 3 3	4 5 6 7 8 9
3 3 3 3 4	4 5 6 7 8 9 0
3 3 3 3 4 4	4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
3 3 3 3 4 4 4	456789012
3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	4567890123
3 3 3 3 4 4 4	4567890123
3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	4567890123

123

124

125

126

and I'll let you know.

MR. WRIGHT: All right. Then the Commission --Graham's, their meeting on the 3rd, does that -- is that document going to be considered by the Commission?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.

MR. WRIGHT: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

MR. WRIGHT: That's all I wanted to know. So, that would give you the whole picture.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: And that's all I want.

MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you. Mr. Baker?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman.

But we just received this this morning. So, we haven't had a chance to read through it.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: And I just -- if I could just ask if you and our Council could get clarification from the Council or from the Mayor's Office, about the effect of the Council's action, because my indication -- and I'm not an attorney, is that if the Council adopts this, it's set it into law, in which case, we can't overrule the Council.

Quite frankly, I don't need to read 1,000 comments if the work is done, and so, I mean, it's just a matter of respect for our time. And so, if we could find out sooner, rather than later, about the effect of the Council's action, it would be very helpful.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Baker, I will make that phone call as soon as we leave here, and I will try to have an answer for you by the close of business today, sir.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: All right.

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: In reference to what Mr.

Baker has said, whatever the Council's action is, I'm not aware of it as a Commissioner. The last thing I heard was that they were saying that he wanted time and distance meters put in by April 1st and that he was getting some comments from the public and if he got enough comments from the public, he would take another look at the situation.

If there's anything different from Commissioner, I'd like to be abreast of what's happening now, because I don't know what's happened since the time that I saw the Mayor on TV, and that was probably almost a month ago.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okav.

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: So, there information that you can bring me of -- update me or with Mr. -- the action

1	
2	
3	

that Mr. --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Graham.

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: -- Commissioner Baker is talking about right now. Could you update us on that? Maybe the others know, I don't know.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: What it was is that Mr. Graham had a -- there was a -- he had a meeting of the Committee on the Public Works and the Environment on the 3rd, and they submitted a document talking about the meters versus -- talking about zone meters and also talking about time and distance meters.

I got this document yesterday. I made it available to the other Commissioners as soon as I got it. We had not had a chance to contact you. It was late when I got this, and there's also another one out right now, having to do with Mr. Barry and Councilman Mendelson that came out yesterday, that we attempted to get this morning and were unsuccessful in getting that.

I will continue to try to get that document, and we will definitely make sure that you are provided with the information.

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Is it something that you could summarize in terms of the ones that Graham put out and Mr. Barry put out, that you do have --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, I do not have anything in reference to what Mr. Graham and -- I'm sorry, what Mr. Mendelson and Councilman Barry -- I have not seen it. I haven't heard any parts of it. I just know that I caught a snippet on the TV, as saying that they had done this, but it had not been forwarded to us.

The one with Mr. Graham, I did get a chance to speak to David Vacca in Mr. Graham's office and from what I surmise of it -- and I guess you -- from what I surmise with Mr. Graham's thing is that basically, what they were saying is that we're going to have meters. It's either going to be a time and distance meter or it's going to be a zone meter. They really didn't make any definitive statement on it. Yes, Mr. Baker?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Yes, I mean, through the Chair, to Mr. Tapscott, the Committee held a hearing, which was held January 3rd. So, it was obviously announced during the holidays and held quickly, which isn't really a good way to do the people's business.

But the -- there are three areas that I think are important for us to clarify. The report says that it will serve to communicate the recommendations of the Committee of Public Works and the Environment on this important issue.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Right.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: So, it could be just recommendations.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, well --

	1
	2
	<u> </u>
	ر 4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	0 1 2
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5 6 7 8 9
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	<i>3</i>
2	4
2	0
2 2 2	7 8 9 0
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3
3	4
3	5
3	6
3	7
3	8
3	9
4	0
	1
4	
4	- 3
4	4
r	r

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Which is fine, but the Committee also took two votes, and I'm not sure what -- I mean, the votes could just be on the recommendations, but they also could be more binding than that, and I just think we need clarification.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, well, let me --

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Let me just point out what

those two are.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, go ahead, sir.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: One is on the flag fee, which is the drop rate, where the Committee voted five to zero to accept that. That's in the meter regulation -- I mean, fare regulations, and then the second was a vote on the final -- on the overall regulations, where those passed unanimously.

And so, they could be just recommendations, but they could also be binding.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, let me give you what the conclusion statement for this whole thing says, "Considering the foregoing, we believe that either the meter system -- either meter system would comply with the Levin law and meet the needs of the District of Columbia."

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Where do you find that?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It's on page eight, the top of the page. Well, it starts on the bottom of number seven, it says 'conclusion' --

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Right.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: -- and then there's a two-line statement that says, "Considering the foregoing, we believe that either meter system would comply with the Levin law and meet the needs of the District of Columbia."

COMMISSIONER BAKER: I see, okay.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Bethea, I saw your hand.

MR. BETHEA: Ron Bethea, Independents 252, my concern about this whole issue about --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Bethea, could you come up to the table, please? Thank you.

MR. BETHEA: Ron Bethea, Independent 252. My concern concerning this meter issue and the issue being discussed presently, in section 825-1, they have an infraction section that deals with fines, and I need to know from this Commission what impact did this Commission have in setting any of these fines, because if my recall serves me correctly, the hearing that Councilman John Graham had, these fines were done by the Mayor's General Counsel, Peter Nickles.

Did this Commission have -- play any role in setting these fines? That is my question.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I'm about to answer your question. Peter Nickles did not have anything to do with the setting of these

fines. Peter Nickles was never at the table.
MR. BETHEA: Who did?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I did.
MR. BETHEA: You set these \$1,000 fines, sir?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: Tampering, operating with a meter?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: Improper use of off-duty sign?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: Did any of the
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No.
MR. BETHEA: Commissioners have any impact?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No.
MR. BETHEA: You just did this?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: Okay. Also, under the section that deals
with the infractions for the meter cut, the meter businesses, those fines were
also set by you, sir, with no
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
MR. BETHEA: no input from anyone?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, there were some individuals
that assisted, but I basically did it all, yes.
MR. BETHEA: My question then to you, sir, is how
and what is meant by non-resident under unlicensed operator, when you say
non-resident, \$500 fine. What do you mean by that?
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Bethea, all of this is still
under the I mean, it's coming up under the comment. I'm not going to do
anything having to do with discussing what those say, until after I go
through there, because they're still subject to review, and what has to
happen is that we're finishing up the final comment period. Some things are
going to change and instead of me sitting here wasting your time, talking
about what could be, we'll have that conversation
MR. BETHEA: I'm trying to understand something
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Sir, I just told you. All of that
comes under the final comment period. It comes under review, and I'm in
the process of reviewing it now. So, until I go back and look at all the
comments, nothing is final.
MR. BETHEA: So, what you're saying to me, Mr. Swain,
is that you are the one that came up with these fines. You have the final
comment and you have the final say-so, and no members of this
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Pathon, that is, not what I
CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Bethea, that is not what I
said.
MR. BETHEA: I'm asking that.

1	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Bethea, that is not what I
2	said. Now, if you would allow me to answer the question, I will answer the
3	question.
4	MR. BETHEA: I don't know whether you can answer it
5	or not, sir, because you told me you needed final review. I'm listening to
6	you.
7	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Sir, I said they're under final
8	review. I didn't say I needed final review. I said they're under final review.
9	Excuse me, Mr. Tapscott. Yes, sir?
10	MR. ROGERS: Good morning. My name is Mr. Rogers.
11	The question is, that I'd like to ask is, how are these meetings set up and
12	how are they posted and notified how are people to find out about these
13	meetings? Are they posted and notified on the website?
14	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: They're on the website, yes, sir.
15	They're on the website.
16	MR. ROGERS: All the meetings that dealing with
17	Taxicab Commission?
18	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.
19	MR. ROGERS: Or taxicab rules and infractions or
20	anything
21	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It's on the website.
22	MR. ROGERS: are set up on the website?
23	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes. Ms. Robinson?
24	MS. ROBINSON: Carolyn Robinson, Yellow Cab 800.
25	I just had a quick question, I guess, a point of clarity.
26	I understand at the last at the December meeting, you
27	stated that you were given executive privilege or an executive order to make
28	all the decisions pertaining to the meters, that you were given this task from
29	the Mayor.
30	Well, my question is, if you had the executive order to
31	take care of all of this, why was this Committee meeting held, and
32	unbeknownst to you and us, just for the Committee to discuss issues that are
33	just tentative?
34	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: What Committee meeting are
35	you referring to?
36	MS. ROBINSON: This January 3 rd meeting.
37	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I think you have to ask Mr.
38	Graham that, ma'am.
39	MS. ROBINSON: But you have the executive order to
40	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Robinson, you have to ask
41	Mr. Graham why Mr. Graham did what he did you have to ask Mr.
42	Graham. You're asking me to answer why Mr. Graham did something.
43	You have to contact Mr. Graham.
44	MS. ROBINSON: No, but you have the executive order

147

148

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Robinson, you have to contact Mr. Graham. I don't know why Mr. Graham did it. You have to contact Mr. Graham. You're going to ask me why did he do what he did. He did it. You have to contact him.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay, I just have one more question in regards to the comment period. You said you received over 1,000 entries, and they're going to be done by the end of this week?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: If I can get out of here.

MS. ROBINSON: You can comment on 1,000 comments by the end of the week?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Robinson -- MS. ROBINSON: I'm just asking.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Robinson, I'm about to

answer you.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: A lot of the comments are on the same form letter, and they're saying almost exactly the same thing. So, it's not going to be almost impossible to do it. All we have to do --or all I have to do is get them all together, put them on a chart and look at the questions.

But most of the questions are the same exact thing. "The four dollar drop fee is too high. The four dollar drop fee is too high." If I got 500 people saying the four dollar drop fee is too high, believe me, it's not going to take me three years to sit there and -- go down there and say, "I've got 500 people saying the four dollar drop fee is too high." Thank you, ma'am.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay, I just had one more question.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, you said you had one more question long ago.

MS. ROBINSON: I was just concerned about this Levin law. Is that a law?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ma'am, you have to ask Jim Graham. That is Jim Graham's document.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Price?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: I think for Ms. Robinson, that's a simple question. The Levin law is the authorizing amendment and the -- it was the one that requires that the Mayor either adopt meters or opt out.

MS. ROBINSON: So, that's not really a law? COMMISSIONER BAKER: It is a law. That is a law. CHAIRMAN SWAIN: They just gave it that name.

10

11 12

13

19

20

21

27

34 35 36

33

37 38 39

40 41 42

43

44

159

160 161 162

COMMISSIONER BAKER: It's the Congressional directive in the appropriations.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Price?

MR. PRICE: My name is Nathan Price. I'm the spokesman for D.C. Professional Taxicab Driver's Association. What has been somewhat disturbing to me is, as we talked about the last time we were convened, and Mr. Baker talked about, you know, their input and what they were doing and I was saying every person on this Commission has a fiduciary responsibility to taxicab drivers, because you are in charge of their livelihoods, and you impact not only their lives, but the lives of their families as well.

What is disturbing to me is that when I looked at -- not Title 31, because that doesn't mean a hill of beans. But when I looked at D.C. Code and it deals with how actions of the Commission take place, it was the taxicab drivers in Washington that fought for a Taxicab Commission. It wasn't the government. The government fought against it. The government prefers the taxicab drivers keep quite.

Now that we have a Taxicab Commission and we have Commissioners on there, some of them speak for the taxicab industry's best interests, others don't. But yet and still, the most important thing is that no matter what the Mayor has proposed, it is imperative that the letter of the law be upheld. It is imperative that the Commissioners play a part, and it's also imperative that the whole industry is aware of how these things take place, because if it's not, then what we have here is not democracy, and that's what's disturbing to me.

You know, if you think we are going to roll over and die, as you know, we will not. But I would just hope somewhere in an executive meeting, this body up on this dias gets together and sends a strong message to the Mayor, because the recommendation, whether the Mayor accepts it or not, is supposed to come from this body. How that -- those things are implemented defines whatever, comes from this body, because you're the people who we hold accountable. You're the one's that have the fiduciary responsibility. Not just the Chairperson, but all of you. So, all of you are accountable to us. That's all I'm saying. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you, Mr. Price. Yes, Ms.

Travis?

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: I'd like to respond by saying that as a Commissioner myself, I'm speaking for myself now and I certainly have tried not to shirk any responsibility, Mr. Price, what-so-ever.

MR. PRICE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: I did go to -- when we're talking about the fines and moving on to the next step, Chairman Swain will tell you that I told him that I definitely wanted some input into what's taking place from here on out, and that's when Mr. -- Chairman Swain presented

 the executive order from the Mayor, authorizing him to have exclusive -- to make the exclusive decisions as to implementation of this order.

Now, Commissioner Allen here knows that law back and

Now, Commissioner Allen here knows that law back and forth. You know, I don't have to get into that, to that point. So, I don't know what Code 50 says, and I'm wondering does the Mayor exempt Code 50, what's in there?

But apparently, according to what Chairman Swain has said, well, that's that it does. So, I don't know from a legal point of view. It disturbs me, but apparently, there isn't anything that I can do about it, but it does disturb me that we don't have the kind of input that we -- at least, that I would like to have, in terms of this transition.

MR. PRICE: Yes, because see, one of the things that our complaint is, for the past 15 years, is that the Commission is made up of candlestick makers, brick layers, carpenters, and home owners, home makers, and things like that. Everything but generally people from the industry.

No Commission or Board is made up like that, except for the Taxicab Commission. That, to me, is overly disturbing. But after these people come in and put their earnest time in and they listen to the hearts and they -- the heart beats of the drivers and they feel their pain, they make decisions, not only for the riding public, for the drivers as well, because that is the area of the Taxicab Commission.

So, therefore, when that takes place, we know -- we think we have a voice that at least gives an honest decision, and that's all we're asking for. We don't ask for anything that's overwhelming in our favor, but at the same time, we realize the health of the riding public is as important to us as it is to you all because they are our business.

So, therefore, for you all to be excluded out of the process is disturbing.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Baker?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Yes, I just want to reflect on something that you said earlier this morning, and I mean, I just want to also point out things that I think are appropriate.

When Ms. -- when Senator Levin applied the law, he gave the Mayor the authority to make a decision, and that was appropriate. He didn't say the Mayor and the citizens of the District of Columbia or the Mayor and the Council. It was the Mayor; the Mayor is the Chief Executive.

When the Mayor delegated the authority, he said the Chairman, and I feel that that was appropriate. He didn't say the Commission. He didn't say the Mayor. He said the Chairman.

As somebody who has run organizations, whenever I delegated something to one of my directors, I asked the director to make a

 $17\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{170}}{1}$

 decision. I expected that they were going to consult with their staff, their volunteers, other people, because that's what a good manager does.

So, the question to me is not about the Mayor having delegated the authority to the Chairman. That's appropriate. That's who works for the Mayor. The only question that I've asked and which I think that the Chairman answered earlier today, and we just have to hold him at his word, is that we listened at our special meeting in December to the drivers and others who came. There has been public comment. Those are coming in, and now the Chairman has indicated this morning -- I don't think we should overlook it, and I think in this conversation we are, that he plans now to convene the Commissioners together to give additional input.

So, hearing that from the Chairman, I don't feel slighted. I'd like to know what the process is. We all have to balance our work and so that our time isn't wasted. But I'm assuming that just as you're asking, that the Chairman has now committed to us, that there's going to be an honest and transparent process, where with the public comments, where with what we've heard from and you and now, with the Commissioners convening together, we will give recommendations. Hopefully they will be good and accepted, where they're appropriate, and that, then the Mayor and he will sit down and adopt them, and they will make some decisions we won't agree with, but hopefully they'll make many decisions that we do agree with.

That's what I heard the Chairman say. If I'm incorrect in that interpretation, I'd like to be corrected. But I just don't want us to at least not acknowledge what I thought I heard him say.

MR. PRICE: Mr. Baker, I can respect your opinion. On the other end, this is not the private sector.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Right.

MR. PRICE: This is the government and the title says that there's a certain process, how things go, and the only thing I want is that -- because if you treat it like it's the private sector, then you're not talking about democracy.

The private sector is like one person who is a sole proprietor for a corporation, and they do things for the best interest of either the owner or the stock holders, and that's all I'm saying. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott?

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Yes, I would like to get back on something that you just said, Mr. Price. I feel personally, myself, that when this Commission was set up in 1986 or 1989 --

MR. PRICE: The Taxicab, after `85 -- was actually started to come together in `87.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Eighty-seven, that it was taken into consideration by the Council that they set this up. Mrs. Betty

Ann Kane had a lot to do with it, and I really don't think it is in the best interest for someone to take the responsibility away.

Even though Mr. Swain is a paid employee, we are all appointed by the Mayor. We have all got a judicial responsibility, and I think, in my opinion, that -- if it's worth anything, that to give one person, whether it's me or anyone else, sole responsibility, you're doing away with the intent of what this Committee was to do.

This Committee was supposed to be set up and held and voting on items, taking it to the public and the drivers. But by not having any input into this, I think we've been disrespected very highly, because I'm wondering -- I can't read the Mayor' mind, whether the Mayor meant for Mr. Swain to do it all by himself or whether he meant for his Commissioners, he consult his Commissioners.

We were not consulted. So, therefore, we hold no responsibility in this issue.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you very much. Mr. Abdulkarim and then there's Mr. Wright and there's you, sir.

MR. AHMED: Good afternoon to everybody. First of all, I want to ask a question, because I really came to a lot of meetings, and we need to have our meeting -- at least, we have to have something we accomplish.

We always come here and we back and forth, so since you allow me to go back and forth, I'm going back to September 11th, the time of the vote.

The problem we are in today -- and I see right now, those who are responsible, they are the ones who are attacking. So, I know most cab drivers here, they forgot. Let's remember who voted for the meeting? Mr. Pawha, Heinemann and Baker, and they're the ones last meeting, when we're here, they take us back and forth. You know why? Because they don't drive taxi. They are volunteer Commissioners here, and they just want to hold this industry for forever.

So, it's up to you, you cab drivers, to make a decision what is good for you. Don't let these people give you a nice talk. Mr. Baker says he's not a lawyer. If he's not a lawyer, why is he doing interpretation of the rules here?

So, let me make my point here. What we need now is hearings. The problem we have here is, the meter, they talk about the meter. We have a lot of other problems our industry, which is, we don't have no space here. And those agenda -- I read the agenda, it's not one of them here.

Last Friday, police almost lock me up. The reason why, because unmarked car with a cruising light pick up customers. I call the police. I stop the guy. Police, he arrest me. He want to arrest me because he told me, "You have no right to tell me what to do." He's a police officer.

He's a servant for the public.

My point is here, you got -- we're missing the point here. Mayor make a decision. Mr. Baker is talking about Carl Levin. Carl Levin, he is the one who dictates to this city and ask -- we need a meter. He is from Michigan.

Did we go and protest his office? Did we send a letter? Did we e-mail? There is less focus -- and have this, our -- and to be honest with you, right now, I'm looking for another job. So, if you don't see me driving taxi, I'm going to wish you luck.

These guys are fooling us, Baker, Heinemann, and Pawha. We need to get rid of these people, then we can do something.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Wright was next and then you're after Mr. Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: Good morning again. William J. Wright, Chairman of Taxi Events Group. With all due respect to Mr. Baker and anyone who might have his views, Senator Levin simply said a meter. He didn't say what kind of meter. He also said the Mayor could option out, which mean he could have left it as it is.

Now, everybody is very conveniently overlooking that. This is the decision that the Mayor has made, not Congress. The Mayor has made this decision, not the Congress.

Now, Baker did not say that you had to have \$1,000 fine. He said a meter, which means that everything that the Mayor is doing, the Mayor is doing it, not Baker and not the Congress.

Therefore, when the Mayor goes outside of his authority, he's just as wrong as anybody else, and the Mayor is outside of his authority when he gives the Chairman authorization. But I bet you this, when it's discussed before the City Council, the Mayor is not going say he gave him that authority. He is going to say, "That's his rules," and that's the way we get it.

When we go down to City Council, they tell us, "This comes from the Mayor's Office." They don't even mention Mr. Swain. They say, "This comes from the Mayor's Office," and the Mayor has -- and if Mr. Baker is correct, then the Mayor has the authority to do that. But not Mr. -- and he can't give it to Mr. Swain, because if he gives it to Mr. Swain, he is violating the thing that set the Commission up, because when the Commission was set up, it was set up as a Commission, not one person. It was set up as all 18 of us at that time.

So, Mr. Baker is completely wrong. The Mayor has the authority to tell the Chairman the same thing to do, but he can't tell him to do something that the City Council has the authority over, and the City Council has the authority over what we're talking about here.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you, sir.
COMMISSIONER BAKER: Mr. Chairman, may I

 respond?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Baker, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: First, let me just clarify that I totally agree with you about what the law says. Ms. Robinson asked what was the Levin law and I said that it authorized the Mayor to either adopt meters or opt out. So, let's be clear.

MR. WRIGHT: No fines.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: I did not go into that. I am not involved in the adoption of the -- the drafting of these regulations, some of which I agree with, some of which I do not.

I totally agree with you, I believe that the Mayor has the authority to give to the Chairman, the development of the final rules. What I don't think is clear -- and this is where we agree, is what are the rules then, on how they're adopted?

Do they need to be voted on by the Commission? Do they need to be voted on by the City Council? Can the Mayor just do it? These are the questions I've been asking since November.

So, you know, I don't feel like I have answers, and I certainly have not given any answers that I hope that I could be wrong on. The only answer that I've said is that because this authority was given to the Mayor, the Mayor has absolute authority to transfer those to one of his staff persons.

Now, what the rest of the rules of the city are, I don't think are clear. Do these -- how each of these regulations now, need to go for final rule making, be voted on by the Commission or not, has never been made clear to us.

And so, how they transfer from a draft proposal of the Mayor's Office, which the Chairman had input in, to how they are actually to become the regulations of the District, has not been made clear to us.

And so, I don't feel like I've commented, in any way, to be wrong and I think that that's the critical question and we have from now until when these rules are put into whatever register they're going to be put into, to clarify them.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Baker, as a member of the Commission, are you not concerned enough -- and I'm not saying you aren't, are you not concerned enough to know what role that you ought to play in this Commission, by writing a letter to the Mayor or someone, to see whether you have an input in this or why aren't you? Do you not think that's important for you, as a Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Let me just tell you, I mean, one, in moving a decision that made sure we have a special meeting, so we heard your voices, we would not have had that, had I not pushed for that meeting.

Had we not also been moving to ask what are the rules. I

1	think that one, I am asking all the questions here, moving the processes here
2 3	and asking the questions downtown that I need to, that at the end of this
4	process, it is going to be transparent and clear.
5	MR. WRIGHT: But I understood you to say you didn' know, so
6	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I said I didn't know
7	MR. WRIGHT: What kind of answers did you get?
8	COMMISSIONER BAKER: today, but I will find
9	those answers.
10	MR. WRIGHT: As of today, you don't know?
11	COMMISSIONER BAKER: As of today, but don't be
12	deceived. I'm asking questions and I've asked questions today about
13	Council's role. I want to know those and we're going to find them.
14	MR. WRIGHT: Are you asking at the Mayor's Office?
15	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I'm asking every place
16	need to ask.
17	MR. AHMED: But you when you say the Mayor
18	MR. WRIGHT: I'm asking if he's asking the Mayor?
19	MR. AHMED: He's not asking
20	MR. WRIGHT: I understand that.
21	COMMISSIONER BAKER: When I get these responses
22	today, from or this week, from the Chairman's Office, if I need to call the
23	Mayor's Office I just returned to the country on Sunday. If I need to call
24	the Mayor's Office, I will call the Mayor's Office.
25	MR. WRIGHT: Because I would hate for you to sit up
26	there and not and let your authority be pushed under the rug.
27	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Believe me, that is just no
28	how I operate in the world.
29	MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
30	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I am on the
31	MR. WRIGHT: Because we depend on the Commission.
32	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I understand that, but let's
33	just see
34	MR. WRIGHT: And if you're saying I don't know, you're
35	not playing the role you're suppose to play.
36	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I don't know, at this
37	moment and I don't have a problem saying, "I don't know at this moment,"
38	but I will have an answer.
39	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
40	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you, Mr. Wright.
41	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you.
42	MR. BROADUS: Good morning. My name is Alonzo
43	G. Broadus and I drive a cab. I've been retired 31 years. I started in 1949.
44	remember in 1990, that was the last time I saw you since then

1
2
3
4
5
6
_

that?

 $20\overset{\scriptscriptstyle{200}}{1}$

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Nineteen-ninety-four.

MR. BROADUS: My problem is this. They've actually said a lot of things that I decided is true. For example, they passed a ruling because the City Council -- it went to the City -- for of all, Causton Toney approve it. These people did not do anything until after about four or five months ago and they didn't -- they were divided.

But the thing about it is, how do you -- where did you start about the meters? They didn't start it here. They started it all the way over to Causton Toney, like you are here. They had nothing to do with it.

So, we can't blame them. We have to blame Causton Toney first and then, I told the Mayor that they would have a big strike. We should have had a strike on the Tuesday and then the next time, on a Thursday, because it would have said something Congress. That ruling wouldn't have been passed.

It was passed in October 2007. Did you know that? Or 2006, then Mayor Williams -- because I campaigned for him, he told you all -- you'll let the other Mayor decide and he can opt it out or do whatever he want to.

But what I'm trying to tell you is, most of the time, you folks decide on a meeting and you decide on rules and regulations, but you all didn't do anything about it. You weren't here then, I don't think. But they'll tell you, they had a meeting a year afterwards, over at 1901 and they were divided.

Now, I don't think that's fair to these Commissioners and I think that you have no right to decide on -- if it's 8,000 cab drivers, just you or the Mayor. These people start first.

Now, why would you start last week? Can you answer

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I didn't start last week.

MR. BROADUS: You weren't here. Well, anybody who has been here, he'll tell you, they didn't do that until after they had gone up to Congress and everything and it was on the back of the budget.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, Mr. Broadus, everybody here acts as though I picked up a piece of paper and filled out a Mayor's order and put my name on it. Everybody here.

Now, let me --

MR. BROADUS: You can't say everybody.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, most people figured that I picked up a blank piece of paper, put the Mayor's authority and gave it to me as a Christmas gift. Now, let's be right up front.

MR. BROADUS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: This issue has been going for years. The meter issue has been going on back and forth, back and forth, back and forth.

1	MR. BROADUS: Where did it start though? I'm talking
2	about the court was passed the last time.
3	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Broadus
4	MR. BROADUS: Where did it start? You said back and
5	forth and back and forth. All these people, when we had the Commission,
6	they before you came here, before Causton Toney came here, they were
7	the ones who voted on things.
8 9	They didn't vote on that. That was passed before they even tried to vote on it.
10	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Broadus, like I said, the
11	authority was given to me. I didn't walk out there and say, "Give me a
12	Christmas gift." It was given to me they asked me to do a job.
13	I have done the job. I have submitted the information.
14	I've talked to other jurisdictions. I've looked at other meter companies. I
15	have probably met with more cab drivers than any other Chairman that you
16	have ever had.
17	MR. BROADUS: Okay, okay.
18	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I call everybody. MR.
19	BROADUS: You call everybody.
20	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, I've called Price. I've called
21	I've called everybody. I called the cab companies and try to get their
22	opinion.
23	MR. BROADUS: Okay.
24	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I've even asked the other
25	Commissioners to send me your thoughts, give me your e-mails, tell me
26	what you think.
27 28	Now, I'll be right up front. I didn't do this. It was given to me.
29	MR. BROADUS: But you okayed it.
30	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It was given to me. I was given
31	a job
32	MR. BROADUS: It was given to you?
33	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Sir, I was given a job to do by the
34	Mayor of the District of Columbia.
35	MR. BROADUS: Okay.
36	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Now, let's be right up front. I
37	don't see anybody here going down there to the City Council, saying that
38	what I have done is illegal. If I had done something illegal, don't you think
39	that the City Council would have stepped into it by now?
40	MR. BROADUS: Well, I'll tell you something, sir, this is
41	the last thing I'm going to tell you. I was on the price fixing of automobiles,
42 43	which is against the Sherman Act.
43	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir. MR. BROADUS: About 20 years ago, I stayed there on a
44	MR. BROADUS. About 20 years ago, I stayed there on a
207	NEAL R. GROSS
208 209	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
210	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1	whole year. They fined every car dealer, except Capital Cadillac, for price
2	fixing. Price fixing, that's against the Sherman Act. It's a Federal thing.
3	That means that these people are part of your
4	organization and you have no right to say, "Well, you can do certain things,"
5	you go to the Mayor and he does what you say, without any input from
6	these people and the people who are involved in it, who are about 8,000 cab
7	drivers.
8	We don't have any input, except this fellow or maybe
9	him and the lady. But most of the people here, they are for other
10	organizations.
11	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Broadus, I hear you and
12	MR. BROADUS: No, but I'm saying, you should be
13	neutral. My opinion.
14	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.
15	MR. BROADUS: And I tell you something, if somebody,
16	which I think is doing it now, is going to take not only you to Court,
17	because you're here now, also, Causton Toney, also Carl Schwartz, also
18	Mayor Williams, they're taking them to Court for just that idea, it's against
19	the Sherman Act, which is a Federal offense.
20	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Broadus, thank you for your
21	comments. Okay, yes. Yes, I realize that it's 12 o'clock. I'm going to spend
22	the next three minutes on this and then we're going to move ahead with the
23	rest of the agenda. Ms. Travis, you said something?
24	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: No, I said that gentleman
25	had his hand up.
26	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, I was getting ready to call
27	on him. Yes, sir?
28	MR. GRAY: I got something I'm going to have to say.
29	My name is Vincent Gray. I drive Royal Cab 588.
30	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.
31	MR. GRAY: I got a question. You know, us drivers, we
32	got out a survey, right, about the meters at Three Park
33	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir. Mr. Wright gave me a
34	copy yesterday.
35	MR. GRAY: Okay, did he Mr. Wright, did you give
36	him the numbers?
37	MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
38	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, he gave me them.
39	MR. GRAY: Okay, that's all I wanted to ask.
40	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir, he gave it to me it's part
41	of it.
42	MR. GRAY: And that's the end of it, because we've got a
43	lot of them in the senior citizen building in the churches. You haven't got
44	them yet, have you, Mr. Wright?

	l
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	ر 4
1	5
	2
1	6
1	6 7 8
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	
2	
2	
2	6
2	7
2 2 2	8
_ つ	8 9
	0
2	U 1
3	1 2
3	3
3	4
3	5
3	6
3	7
	8
_	9
4	0
4	1
4	2
1	2

MR. WRIGHT: He has everything I gave him.

MR. GRAY: Okay, just a question.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you. Mr. Ahmed, and then we're going to move on.

MR. AHMED: Mr. Chairman, I just would like to follow Mr. Wright, what he said, and I appreciate that, because you know, the Commission, what they believe, if it's not part of practical in the writing or calling or there is now follow up, it's really is basically, they come in here, they give us the lip service here. That's why -- I don't know, in person, Mr. Baker, but I attend a lot of meetings, which I listen very carefully to what he's saying.

Mr. Pawha, he don't even talk. All he says is 'yes' or 'no', okay, and to be honest with you, Mr. Heinemann, last two meetings, he was not even here and he's a Commissioner.

So, I'm not talking really -- you know, this body in front of me. I'm talking about my colleague drivers, we need to focus and put out agenda together, you see.

So, what we need now is -- Mr. Chairman, a question, the fines, is there any way as we taxi drivers -- as you say, it's going to be reviewed, right? It's not the last decision?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: We're just in the comment period. I have to look at what the comments are.

MR. AHMED: So, why we don't focus and talk to a Chairman, whoever in charge and say, "Look, this \$1,000, \$10,000, \$2,000, it's really outrageous." Is there any way you can do something? We need something to focus and be done, otherwise, I think it's just -- we're wasting our time because Chairman is appointed for Mayor.

Chairman cannot say, "We don't want to meet." Chairman is not going to have a job, because -- no, no, hold on. Chairman is employee, so my point is, let's focus and have agenda, and to be honest with you, you know more than me. I'm a foreigner. I came here 20 years ago, but it's too late now. I'm a citizen. So, let's move on.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, there is one thing I'm going to say, and then I'm going to go ahead and move on to that.

I know a lot of people are talking about the amount of the fines, the fines of this, the fines of that. All the people who ought to be worried about the fines are somebody who is planning on doing something illegal.

If you're not planning on tampering with your meter, why you worried about \$1,000 fine? If you're not planning on taking it out and doing something illegal with it, why you're worrying about what the fines are? I don't worry about what the cost is of running a red light, because I don't run red lights.

But if you're really worried about the fines, then you need

44

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

to look at yourself and ask, "Why am I worried about it? Do I plan on doing something illegal?" Now, that was the last word.

Okay, now one item that was not on the agenda -- well, let's see, the calendar, we put out the -- I'm sorry, I need new glasses. The task force.

The task force, okay, what it is is that Ms. Robinson is part of the task force. There is a list of all the task force members that should have been on the table over there. If not, I'll make sure that we get you copies of the task force.

But the task force that Jim Graham has put together the -the first meeting is scheduled for the 31st of January. You should be getting
something in the mail today when you get home, Ms. Robinson, and so far
as how we're going to go ahead and proceed, give us a break down of what
we plan on doing. So, that should be going out and like I said, if anybody
wants a copy of the task force membership, I'll make sure that it's out there.
You can also get it from David Vacca, but I do have the latest copy of the
task force.

MR. BETHEA: Can you give us the names of those individuals, other than this lady here, that's on the task force?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Bethea, if I had them all on the top of my head, I would. I don't have the list in front of me. That's why I said, I will make sure before you leave here this evening, you will have a copy. I know it's out there on the desk, but I cannot give you the names of all 14 people that's on the task force.

I can tell you that Reggie Tymus is from City Cab -- I mean, from City Limousine is there. I can tell you that Lynne Breaux from the Restaurant Association is on there. I can tell you that -- let's see, that --

MR. BETHEA: I said you can't name none of them, you don't know none of them.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, fine. I got no problem with that, sir. Then go and get the list.

Okay, the calendar for the year was also distributed. If you did not get a copy, we'll make sure that there are additional copies. I believe all the Commissioners had a copy of it in there. Mr. Tapscott?

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Yes, is the task force meetings going to be public or where are they going to be held or are they going to be public?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Are we going to have any input into it or whatever?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: As far as I'm concerned, the task force meetings are public meetings. We'll make sure that the list gets public on where the meeting is going to be at.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, who is the head

of the task force?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Jim Graham, by legislation, put together the task force and he and I should co-Chair the task force.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: And it's going to be held here or downtown?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It's going to be held in various locations. I do know that we do plan on having one meeting here. They had scheduled a meeting here. They wanted to do a meeting here and at 6:30 -- most of the meetings are going on in the afternoon hours.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: How many cab drivers or limousine drivers are on the task force, do you know?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Only one that I know off-hand, I know Mr. Champ is on there. I know that Reggie Famous or Fimous is on there, from City Limousine, yes, Reggie is on there. So, I will make sure that you get the list. I do know that Ms. Rovoy has the list.

(OTR comments)

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, what is actually their purpose? Do you know that? What are they really looking at?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: They're looking at the entire industry. They're looking at Title 31. They're looking at everything that has do to with this industry and Jim Graham has been talking about it for -- I guess, almost a year now.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Well, you know, I see in the minutes, different places, referred to as the task force, that were shoved down our throats, more or less, from Causton Toney, and we used that in a lot of instances in our reports, and I happen to have been a member of that and I hope that the task force that's being put together, is not a kangaroo court, like that one that was held before.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, I have no idea of Mr. Causton Toney's involvement or anything like that. Ms. Allen?

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Mr. Chairman, can you give us a copy of what the task force purpose and objection are, in writing, why are they there? What are they doing, other than -- you said they were studying the whole industry. Studying it how? Studying what about it?

I mean, what --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Allen, I said --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I'm just curious, because we have no say, as Commissioners. What gives the task force the right to have any say, and so, why are they -- why did Mr. Graham -- and since you're the co-Chair, you have to get this, why did you all decide that that was something that was needed?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Allen, this has been in the making, prior to me coming here.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I understand how long it's

234 (202) 234-4433

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

 been in the making.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Hold on. Now, my thing is that I don't remember reading anything where any of the Commissioners have asked Mr. Graham -- and I know a lot of you have been before Mr. Graham and Mr. Graham -- I'll get there in one second, and Mr. Graham has brought it up, and I don't remember anybody asking him anything.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Purpose, that's all I want to know, what is the purpose of the task force? Is it one, to abolish the Commission? Is it two, to make sure that the taxi industry -- the transportation industry -- because we keep saying taxi. We stopped talking about limousines, ambulances and all of the other things that come under the purview of this Commission, to take it over and put it back in Government, where it came out of.

Since we're suppose to be "independent", I just need to know a purpose. I can't -- I should say, I don't know, because I have not -- and I will say, have not researched, that's why I'm asking you, as the Chairman, to get me the purpose and the objection.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I will get you everything that I can get my hands on and get it delivered to your home.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you. Mr. Baker?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: I mean, just to follow up on Ms. Allen and your response to her, I think that this goes to some of what -- the exchange between myself and Mr. Wright.

I personally believe in being a good member and a good team player. It doesn't mean that I'm going to not disagree, that I'm not going to vote my own way and what I see as the way to go.

But what I believe is that, our first responsibility, as a member of this body, when we have questions or when we come to meetings, is to ask you, because you're our Chairman. I'm not one of those persons who runs around going to the Mayor, going to Jim Graham, having all these side conversations. I just don't believe in that type of process.

And so, I think that when we ask questions of you like this, I mean, if you want -- I mean, to tell us, "Well, I don't recall anybody asking Jim Graham," if you tell us to go to Jim Graham, we will. In my view, that impacts on the harmony of this body, and it also begins to create a political side show, where everybody goes elsewhere to move their agendas, and I'd rather not do that.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: We can do that, but -- and we're very good at it, that's what I get paid for. But I don't want to do that. I'd rather make decisions here.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, Mr. Baker, one thing I was saying is that, it seems to me that everybody acts as though this task force

14 15 16

17

18

12

13

19 20 21

23 24

25

22

26 27

28 29 30

32 33 34

31

35 36 37

38 39 40

41 42

43 44

243

244

245 246 just snuck up on them, that nobody had any advance notice of the task force. Yes, that nobody had any advance notice of the task force and all of the sudden, you see the word task force and then all the sudden, you sit up there and act like it was something that was just thrown out. It wasn't.

This was coming about long before I came here and the only thing I'm saying is that -- and you know, I don't think that it's going behind somebody's back. If you have a question, ask it. I've got no problem with that. If you need some more clarification from Mr. Graham and all of that, ask it.

I saw the list several times. The list changed several times. I know that some people were put on and some people were thrown on, but the thing about it is, I will make the list available to you and I will give you as much information as I can possible give you, concerning what the task force is all about.

This, like meters, is something that I inherited. It is not like I sat up here and all the sudden, behind your back, and said, "We're going to have a task force." It's been out there for over a year. It's coming to fruition and it's something that I inherited and I will give you all of the information that's been given to me. Mr. Bethea?

MR. BETHEA: I noticed that on the agenda that was posted on the D.C. Taxicab Commission website, that you had a line item that -- number two, serving persons with disabilities, community with D.C. based taxi services.

Your own task force, put this three, according to the format on that agenda. Is this issue going to be discussed?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It's going to be -- I'm going to tell you what I'm going to do with it. I have no problem with that. Ms. Robinson?

MS. ROBINSON: Yes, Carolyn Robinson, Yellow Cab 800. In response to the task force, the task force came about from a budget hearing that Jim Graham as an oversight hearing and he discussed that he wanted to have. This was his mission.

But I'm also here to report, even though that I have been assigned to the task force and have requested information pertaining to the task force, I have not received it as of yet. I e-mail David Vacca often, almost weekly.

The reason the task force was taking so long to really get on its feet was because some of the members, they took off -- somebody couldn't perform, due to illness in their family or something, because originally, we were suppose to meet in December, but it was postponed because they couldn't get the total body coordinated at the same time.

Part of the problem -- I don't know how they were doing it, but it was a coordination between the Commissioner and David Vacca and Jim Graham's office.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
_

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott?

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: This is not a question. This is a statement and I know you can't answer. You just got there. But I've been around D.C. a few years. There is Commissions -- a book about that particular, different Commissions, their duties and everything and there is no Commission or Board, you can name them all the way down, that has the trade, I guess you would call it, of overseeing.

The body of taxicab is the most over-regulated industry that I've ever seen in my life. It just -- we just had a Commission four years or five years ago. We're right back at it. Why does this task force -- or this Commission have so much strict regulations that's to be done? It is really over-regulated. Why? I would love to know.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, Mr. Ahmed, and then we're going to move on.

MR. AHMED: Mr. Chairman, I need to ask, because I have -- I'm going back -- I'm a Chairman of D.C. Taxicab Driver's Association and my followers, if I go back, they need some --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: We can't hear you.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You have to move to the table.

MR. AHMED: What I'm saying is, the reason I'm here is, when I go back, they asking me, what do you ask -- the Chairman, and the Commission, what is your answer?

Number one, is there any way -- when is the budget -- do you know anything about the city, Chairman, when do we have a budget or hearing, passing for the D.C. Taxicab?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: For the D.C. Taxicab Commission there is a hearing -- well, not a hearing. I have a budget meeting on the 29^{th} of this month.

MR. AHMED: Because what you told us last time is, you have a lack of manpower and less budget and -- let's focus the drivers -- if we can have a -- more inspectors. Is there any way, Mr. Chairman -- we have a problem, all the Driver's Organization, I represent. The complaint I have is, most of them is they have been really unfair to them. They've taken money from their pocket, and every day, the only discussion we have every day is this, illegal drivers, PG, unmarked cars and limousines are taking over the city.

So, all we ask is -- I know that you have a -- you don't have a -- too many inspectors, but if you are really into look these issues, please, if you can ask even help to the police for back up -- they used to have really -- the police, they use to have the D.C. Taxicab.

Right now, and I think maybe we have a new police officer, some of them, they don't even know the rules, and when you tell them, they can't even distinguish between P.G. and Virginia and D.C. So, please, Mr. Chairman, put in this -- your agenda in the future.

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	9 0
1	1
1	3
	4
l	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
-	
2	0
2	0
2	1 2
2 2 2	1 2
2 2 2	1 2 3 4
2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5
2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3	1234567890123456
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3	1234567890123456
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3	12345678901234567
2222233333333333	123456789012345678
2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	12345678901234567

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: All right.

MR. AHMED: At least we can get some answers.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay, thank you. All right, Mr.

Bethea brought up the fact that I did mention that I was going to talk to -discuss something having to do with handicapped taxicab companies in the Washington, D.C. area.

A letter is going out to the different companies, asking whether or not they were interested in participating in the program to start, bringing handicapped taxicabs into the District of Columbia. That letter should go out Friday.

I've contacted some of the companies. I plan on contacting the rest of them personally and then follow up by a letter. I want to have a meeting with the owners to talk about which ones are interested in participating, so we can go ahead and get some handicapped taxicab service in the District of Columbia.

MR. BETHEA: Mr. Swain, I've spent the past five years

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You have to come to the table.

MR. BETHEA: Ronald Bethea, Independent 252. I'm also President of the Van Service Plus. We're non-profit group and we advocate on behalf of cab drivers and limousine drivers, cab companies and limousine drivers and the disabled community.

I've worked very closely with the Small Business Development Center at the University of the District of Columbia for SBCD, which we were able to put together a financing program called the 'Taxicab Limousine Upward Mobility Program'.

I've been in front of this body numerous times, talking about key issues, which has basically handicapped, not only the cab drivers, but the cab company owners from being able to qualify for Federal grant money, which was available through the Department of Transportation.

Three-hundred-thirty-six-million dollars is being funded from '06 through '09. The problem being only one company out of 108 cab companies, which I called over four months -- we got 13 owners and only 26 companies that were interested in participating, but only one company put up a letter of intent to put up their 20 percent match for the 80 percent grant.

That is what my question and what my concern is, the companies themselves are caught in the same catch-22. They have nothing of value to take to the bank, to use as collateral, to purchase these new vehicles and I'm -- my reason for being here today was this.

Since the Commission -- since you're sending a letter out from this Commission -- and I've spoken with Mr. Jim Graham's office over this issue, allegedly, they've got about \$200,000, the last numbers that I got from Mr. Vacca, saying what they had available.

NEAL R. GROSS

42

43 44

1	
1	
3	
4	
5	
6	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	
8	
10	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
I /	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20 27	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	

Originally, they said it was a low interest loan. I called him back, he said it was a grant. I don't know which it is, whether it's a grant or a loan, but I do know, based on cab drivers having to do stated income two years tax returns and the companies having to go through the same process, as it relates to the program through the Small Business Development of UDC, the could not qualify.

So, I guess I'm somewhat puzzled, as to how this Commission is going to see how many companies want to participate, because we know that it was not the company owners who did not want to participate. The companies wanted to put these vehicles on the street. They simply had no way to come up with the financing for these vehicles and that is where my concerns are because this body, in June, when I put forth a petition for a motion, for consideration, to cap this industry, grandfather in all 6,500 taxi cabs, make those DCTC's transferable and for the D.C. Council to make those DCTC's worth \$200,000, so each cab driver who owns a cab would be worth \$200,000 at the bank.

Now, I've also taken that proposal motion to Councilman Barry, a legislative staff person, Jim Graham and Kwame Brown. I'm still waiting to get a response from them on meetings to deal with this issue, because the bottom line is whether it be a wheelchair assessable issue, whether it be a high-bread issue, whether it's meter, whatever, how are the companies and the drivers expected to pay for these vehicles? Thank you very much, sir.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You're welcome. Okay. COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: On agenda number five, Department of Transportation requests for action taxicab --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Am I going -- have we passed over that or you wasn't going to bring it up?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: We'll get to it.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The calendar had been

distributed.

MS. ROBINSON: I just have a comment or a question. I notice you have a the COG meetings. The address is incorrect, and I want to know if you're going to have the task force meeting on the last of the month, wouldn't that interfere with the COG meeting?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, because the task force meeting is late in the afternoon.

MS. ROBINSON: Late, like what? CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Four-thirty to six-thirty. MS. ROBINSON: Okay.

261

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

NEAL R. GROSS
COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

262 263 264

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	1
	2
	2
	3
	4
	-
)
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
	8
1	9
2	0
_ ^	1
_	I
2	2
2	3
_	ر
2	4
	5
<u>~</u>	,
2	6
2	7
2.	8 9
<u>~</u> っ	n
_	9
	0
3	1
3	
2	3
ر د	ر 1
3	4
3	5
3	6
3	7
ン つ	8
3	ð
3	9
4	0
4	1
, 1	2
+	2
4	3
4	4

sir.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, and I will get the addressed corrected for the COG, no problem. Okay, Department of Transportation requests for action on taxicab stands. All right, pre-license. Okay, what's happening is that the test isn't being reviewed. What had happened is that what the -- with meters coming in, it would go to meters. Half of the questions on the test mean absolutely nothing, because they're all meters that talk about the calculations and if you don't have to do calculations, then you don't need the questions on the test.

So, what they're going to -- what we're looking at is the possibility of doing a lot more geographical questions, locations and stuff like that. So, that's under review now.

MR. BETHEA: So, but they're giving the class at UDC and I took the class last summer.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir, UDC is still giving the class and that is -- UDC is purview to give the class.

MR. BETHEA: So, this is not in conjunction with the class given at UDC, even though you have to have a UDC class in order to take the test?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You still have to go to UDC, yes,

MR. BETHEA: So, this is not in conjunction with UDC. That's what you're talking to.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, we're re-writing that UDC will be administering.

MR. BETHEA: So, they've been re-writing the test for seven, eight, nine months then?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.

MR. BETHEA: So, when do they plan on giving the test? CHAIRMAN SWAIN: As soon as we get all the

questions done.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, go ahead, sir.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Is there or has the rule been changed, as far as UDC testing? In 349 or 350, it says that there's suppose to be a Commission set up from this organization, to -- as a Committee, to look at the testing in the schools.

Is that still going to be in effect or how is it to be done? I've been here for eight years. We've had a taxi cab -- I mean, a School Commission, a school thing -

MS. ROBINSON: And there's no educational committee meetings on this list.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Yes, that's what I -- it's been an Education Committee and I think type 31 relates to it and -- general orders from --

1	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, I hear what you're
2	saying, but right now, until we find out which direction we're going to go on
3	with meetings or whatever, we're going to go to meetings or not, it doesn't
4	make any sense to sit up there and try to come up with new questions until
5	we actually find out what we're going to be using.
6	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: No, no, no, that's not
7	my question. Are we going to have a Committee on
8 9	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The Educational Committee is still there.
10	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Who is that?
11	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You're on there.
12	MS. ROBINSON: But it's not on here, that's what he's
13	saying. There are no meeting
14	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: That's right, and it's not going on
15	there until we figure out which way we're going to go with the questions.
16	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: But don't Title 31 and
17	
18	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, that's where we're
19	at right now.
20	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: All right, fine. Don't
21	Title 31 and the D.C. Code set the agenda, how many people is on there,
22	who is suppose to be on there?
23	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, yes, it does.
24	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: It does. Are were
25	going to follow the rule, that's all I'm asking?
26	MS. THOMPSON: Excuse me, I'll take a look at the
27	rules, but my recollection, there is no provision or requirement in Title
28	I'm sorry, in the D.C. Code, as to an Educational Committee.
29	I think there is one reference in Title 31, but it's not set
30	out similar to the panel's. D.C. Code, in terms of the structure of the
31	Commission, just talks about the Commission's panels.
32	MS. ROBINSON: I disagree.
33	MS. THOMPSON: No, there is no such reference in the
34	D.C. Code to Educational Committee.
35	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: All I'd like to say is, it
36	has been an Educational Committee, I know for eight years and past there.
37	It's always been an Educational Committee and I looked it up myself. A
38	police officer is suppose to be on there and it names who is suppose to be
39	on that Committee.
40	MS. THOMPSON: The reference that you're the
41	Committee, there is an advisory group to UDC. At some point, the
42	Commission also had an Education Committee. They are actually two
43	different bodies.
44	There is an advisory group to UDC. UDC, in legislation,
	I and the second

273

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	-
	6
	/
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
	4
	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
	9
า	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
_ ว	6
<u> </u>	7
ے م	7 8
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
3	3
	4
3	5
3	6
3	7
2	Q
3	9
<u></u>	0
+	1 2
4	2
4	3
4	4

is charged with training taxi drivers and there is a provision in there that there is essentially, an Advisory Board, actually, that's what it says, an Advisory Board to the University that looks at the University curriculum. There is that reference.

But in terms of this Education Committee that apparently was formed to address our pre-licensing exam, that's not in the D.C. Code. But apparently, there has been a Committee that's been operating over the years, focused on that topic. So, it's two different organizations.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I don't know which one of you were first. I'll go with Mr. Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, I just want to pass this, of all these members on the Committee, has anyone thought about the unfairness of these gentlemen going up to UDC, ladies or whoever, involved in paying almost \$400 for --

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: No, it's \$500.

MR. WRIGHT: Five-hundred dollars and knowing that they can't go ahead. Has anyone thought about going to the Mayor and saying, "We are looking at something is so unfair, Mr. Mayor would you stop this," or do something? Has anyone thought about that?

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Well, what we did, we asked the UDC -- we talked with staff at UDC, to put those classes on hold because of the problems that we were having, but UDC has continued to give their --

MR. WRIGHT: I said has anyone gone to the Mayor or the City Council? That's illegal with that. If they take you all to Court, you've got to give all the money back. You all better pray that nobody don't take you to Court, because it will make you look ridiculous.

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: And then UDC gets it, we don't get it.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: But UDC has the money.

MR. WRIGHT: I understand that, but UDC -- this is all part of the same package.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, it's not.

MR. WRIGHT: You're saying that I can't get a license until I go to UDC?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, I didn't say that.

MR. WRIGHT: But that's a fact though, isn't that right?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, it is a fact.

MR. WRIGHT: And I can't get --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: When you say the whole package, I'm talking about money-wise. We're not in control of that money.

MR. WRIGHT: I didn't say you did.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Now, I will tell you that they did talk to UDC. Prior to me coming here, I understand that people did contact

NEAL R. GROSS

279

1	UDC and asked UDC to stop giving the test.
2	MR. WRIGHT: Have you gone to the Mayor or the City
3	Council? Somebody has control over this situation.
4	MS. THOMPSON: It's the City Council?
5	MR. WRIGHT: City Council?
6	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, it's actually legislation that lays
7	out how you become a taxi driver.
8	MR. WRIGHT: Okay, I understand.
9	MS. THOMPSON: And one of the first things says is
10	you have to take the test at UDC.
11	MR. WRIGHT: Now, in light of this Commission here
12	not giving the test, and you're taking people's money, has anybody here
13	gone and said that UDC should hold this up, until such a time when you are
14	able to operate a vehicle?
15	MS. THOMPSON: I actually recall being at a hearing
16	before Mr. Graham, where someone a number of our people raised that
17	issue to the Council. I mean, that, in essence
18	MR. WRIGHT: But the Commission has not this
19	Commission has not written a letter to City Council saying, "Look, we are
20	not performing a part of this examination."
21	MS. THOMPSON: Mr. Wright, that wouldn't actually be
22	the process we would use. In other words
23	MR. WRIGHT: Then would you mind telling me wha
24 25	process you would use?
25	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, if the Commission decides to
26	attempt to change the legislation
27	MR. WRIGHT: I'm just talking postponing i
28	temporarily, that's all. I'm not talking about stopping doing it. You
29	understand what I'm saying?
30	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, in other words
31	MR. WRIGHT: You can't come over here
32	MS. THOMPSON: You're saying a letter to
33	MR. WRIGHT: Stop until we're able to function those
34	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, well, I think that's the
35	Commission's decision.
36	MR. WRIGHT: You think that would be proper for this
37	Commission to call that to someone's attention?
38	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, sure.
39	MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, would you take that into
40	consideration, please?
41	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, Mr. Wright, I will.
42	MR. WRIGHT: She says it's in your court.
43	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, she said it's in the
44	Commission's court.

1	MR. WRIGHT: Well, you're the Chairman.
2	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.
3	MR. WRIGHT: So, that makes you part of that.
4	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, but I just wanted to make
5	sure you got it on the record. You spent half of the time telling me what's
6	mine. Yes, sir, Mr. Tapscott?
7	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Mr. Chairman
8	MS. THOMPSON: It's in his purview.
9	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: We commissioned
10	about five four or five years ago the Commission
11	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Sorry, Mr. Tapscott, go ahead.
12	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: The Commission wrote
13	a letter to Carol Schwartz, asking for their school to be shut down. We, the
14	Commissioners, did that when Carol Schwartz was in charge of the
15	Committee.
16	We closed the school down, not the Chairman, nobody
17	this body closed the school down because it was so much illegal things
18	going on up there. We proved that most of them had the exam in their
19	hands on their shirt sleeves, in their cell phone taking pictures. That's the
20	reason why it was closed down.
21	Now, if we're going to open it back up with the same
22	things that they were doing, changing the questions is not going to help any
23	of the
24	MR. WRIGHT: I'm talking about UDC, taking money
25	from those people over there and they can just
26	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Wait, Mr. Wright,
27	don't know what you said. I'm saying, if we UDC is absolutely wher
28	we closed it down, UDC should have closed it down or given the task.
29	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, I will go ahead and
30	I will put together a letter and I will send it to all the Commissioners in so
31	far as asking UDC to postpone giving the classes or to suspend giving the
32	classes, until the test goes back up, okay?
33	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: All right.
34	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You ask for and we'll do it, okay'
35	Yes, sir?
36	MR. BETHEA: I heard what you just said about that, bu
37	what about the 2,000 people or 1,000 people that have already taken it? To
38	have that money invested in to this and sit back waiting
39	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Even though we're going to asl
40	them to go ahead and suspend the test, we're still going to work out
41	process where those people can take the test and get their license, and UDC
42	will be required to give a refresher course.
43	MS. ROBINSON: You're going to over access them
44	that's what you're saying?

	1
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	
	5
1	6
1	7
	8
1	
1 1	9 0
_	U
	1
2	1
4	
2	3
2 2	2 3 4
2 2 2	2 3 4 5
2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6
2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3	$\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{4}{5}$ $\frac{6}{7}$ $\frac{8}{9}$ $\frac{9}{1}$
2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	23456789012
2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3	234567890123
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	$\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{4}{5}$ $\frac{6}{7}$ $\frac{7}{8}$ $\frac{9}{9}$ $\frac{0}{1}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{3}{4}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	$\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{4}{5}$ $\frac{5}{6}$ $\frac{7}{8}$ $\frac{9}{9}$ $\frac{0}{1}$ $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{4}{5}$
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	234567890123456
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2345678901234567
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	23456789012345678
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	234567890123456789
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4	2345678901234567890
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4	234567890123456789

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: That's not what I said.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: On that same question, Mr. Chairman, I'll guarantee the most of them -- off the top of my head, that most of the applications that they have already passed, 2,000 or whatever it is, at least two-thirds of them are out of state residents.

The law is, no new cabs can be issued to out of state residents, right?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: All right, so, we pass and -- they pass it. They can't get a cab. The only thing that they can do is come in here and rent a cab. They can't come in here and buy and cab and open it up if you live in Virginia or Maryland.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, we will -- first of all, let's get the class stopped. All right, one of the things that's not on the agenda -- and I will go ahead and put together a letter and make sure that you get it and we'll go from there, requesting that they suspend the test -- I'm sorry, suspend the class.

Now, one of the things is not on the agenda and it has to do with the permanent rate increase. The last time one was in January 2006. We're required to review the industry cost every two years, so this issue will be sent to the panel on rates and rules, which will meet next month.

So, we can go ahead and start discussing a rate increase.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Why wouldn't the discussion around rates be included in the new proposed regulations? Why don't we create a separate box?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, because we're required to go ahead and do that by law, every two years.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: And when are we anticipating that we will be complete with it?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I'm going to send it to the panel on rates and rules for the next meeting in February. How quickly it's completed, depends on the panel.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: And then I guess I have a question. So, let's say the panel, even under the best scenario, which I doubt, completed its process in March -- I mean, in February.

We're meeting in March. Are you suggesting that we would potentially vote a rate increase at our March 12th meeting and then on April 1st, new meter regulations, which have a drop rate for them, are going to go into effect?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: New meter regulations are still under review and I'm asking you to go ahead, do the rate increase, or at least

43

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

		9
	1	
	1	1
	1	2
	1 1 1 1 1	3
	1	4
	1	5 6
	1	6
	1	7
	1	8
		9
	2	0
	2	1
	2	2
	2	
	2	4
	2	5
	2	6
	2	7
	2	789
	2	9
	3	0
	3 3	1
	3	2
	3	3
	3	4
	3	5
	3	6
	3	7
	3	6 7 8 9
	3	9
		-
	4	1
	4 4	2
	4	ر 4
	4	+
		302
3	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	3
3	0	4
3	U)

look at the rate increase. By that time, you should also have the information on the rate schedule for the meters and all of that and perhaps, we can work those two out together.

But at least we're required by law to go ahead and take a look at it. We need to go ahead and take a look at it.

Now, I have drivers tell me that they're well overdue for a rate increase and we need to at least go ahead and take a look at it.

MR. LUCAS: My name is William Lucas, Driver Yellow 501. It's not on --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Microphone, sir.

MR. LUCAS: William Lucas, Driver Yellow 501. It's not on the agenda, but I do believe the gas surcharge, when is that up?

MR. LUCAS: Okay, have we thought about what we're going to do, because gas is going back up?

 $\label{eq:chairman} CHAIRMAN\ SWAIN:\ Gas\ goes\ up\ and\ goes\ down\ every\ day,\ Mr.\ Lucas.$

MR. LUCAS: True, but -- well, since there's rate charges, so close together, about what we're going to do with the meter and so forth, I think it would be beneficial to extend the gas surcharge.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you for your comment.

MR. BEYAH: I don't want to sound redundant, but my question also is as Mr. Lucas asked. My name is Mr. Beyah. I drive Washingtonian Taxicab #19. My question is the same as Mr. Lucas.

You just indicated that gas prices go up and go down. They do fluctuate. But they are staying at a pretty steady rate, which is above \$3.00 and it impacts on our earnings and I would think it would be to our benefit to extend it. What does the Commission intend to do about that?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I think it would be to your benefit if I extended it too, but the thing about it is, the last time we did a gas rate increase, if you looked at the prices of what gasoline was and looked at the number of runs in a route, the dollar was very generous.

Let's be right up front, I've heard a lot of comments on that, that the dollar was too overly generous.

MR. BEYAH: The dollar?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The dollar -- surcharge was overly generous. In fact, you had some of your people -- Mr. Wright was one of the people that spoke against the gas surcharge.

MR. BEYAH: All right, well, in Montgomery County, I think there is about the same thing and they run their's for about six months.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

MR. BEYAH: So, why do we run our's less than that? CHAIRMAN SWAIN: We're not, we're going to -- MR. BEYAH: Well, why do we do our's less than that,

1	Mr
2	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The purview of the Commission
3	
4	MS. THOMPSON: Can I share with you that we pretty
5	much had a gas surcharge going for almost most of 2007. I don't have the
6 7	gas history report here. Don, you don't happen to have a copy with you, do
8	you?
9	But we've had a surcharge, we did a surcharge in MR. BEYAH: Wait a minute that one ended about
10	three or four months ago.
11	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, probably right around, I would
12	think about September, maybe.
13	MR. BEYAH: And the prices did go down, but then back
14	up
15	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, they
16	MR. BEYAH: beyond where they were before.
17	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, at some point, with the
18	surcharges, one of the challenges you're better off, at some point, looking
19	at a permanent rate increase, because we keep putting those on.
20	The public complains that the gas prices fluctuate. So,
21	it's probably a better
22	MR. BEYAH: The public complains? MS. THOMPSON: Yes.
23	MR. BEYAH: About the gas prices fluctuating?
25	MS. THOMPSON: About the surcharge, and in fact, the
22 23 24 25 26	gas prices, yes.
27	MR. BEYAH: All right, I think the public is aware of
28	the high gas rates. They complained about the gas rates because of what
29	they have to pay for their own cars. I don't get customers that complain
30	about that, in my taxi cab.
31	I don't want to say I don't get any, but most seem to think
32	that it's necessary. So, I don't know if a survey is being taken, but I think the
33	Commission should consider extending it. Thank you.
34	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Thank you, sir. Ms. Allen?
35 36	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: In response to the gentleman's question about the surcharge, I'm looking at November 14 th
37	meeting minutes and Ms. Thompson said that she was responding to
38	something that I had said and I had said that since the price of gas
39	fluctuated, the Commission needs to add some language that if the price
40	falls below certain point, the surcharge can be removed and that the
41	Commission could craft language to add to address this.
42	In response, the General Counsel said that the language is
13	fine, however, the way that this would in a way, this would be
14	challenging. She added that the figures which the Commission staff

	1
	2
	3
	4
	-
	S 1
	6
	/
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
	5
	6
1	7
1	7 8
1	9
1	9
2	0
	1
2	2
2	2
2 2 2	2 3 4
2 2 2	2 3 4 5
2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6
2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2
222223333	234567890123
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	2345678901234
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	23456789012345
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	234567890123456
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	2345678901234567
2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	23456789012345678
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	234567890123456789
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4	2345678901234567890
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4	2345678901234567890

315

316

317

318

collected are prices from each Monday and that they are in constant fluctuation.

The Commission would have to decide what figure would trigger removal of the surcharge. She also shared with the Commission that as to the amount of the surcharge, the Commission has to determine a reasonable basis for why it has selected the amount of the surcharge, looking at gas price history reported by the Commission.

Now, these were things that we talked about back in November and the General Counsel was suppose to look into the method of which we could do this, with -- if it had got a certain rate, then we would -- it would automatically --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Ms. Robinson --

MS. THOMPSON: Excuse me --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So, that is the according to the minutes, and so, since November, has there been any action, Ma'am General Counsel?

MS. THOMPSON: In fact, Ms. Allen, the response there is, in fact, the response that I gave. I cannot independently determine the trigger. So, that was --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: But has anybody pulled together, so we can look at the trigger? That's all I'm saying. Since this was something --

MS. THOMPSON: No, that would be a discussion -- it would be -- the trigger --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Well, you said --

MS. THOMPSON: Can I --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: -- it, he didn't.

MS. THOMPSON: Can I respond, Ms. Allen? The lawyer doesn't set the dollar figure that would cause the trigger. That was what I was responding at the meeting. Mrs. Travis --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I'm not Ms. Travis.

MS. THOMPSON: Sorry, Ms. Allen.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Thank you.

MS. THOMPSON: I have to respond to what you just said. You're asking the attorney to go back and find some dollar amount that would cause the surcharge. You guys -- I would come to this meeting and somehow, I decided as the attorney, to go find some figures.

So, that was my attempt, at that meeting, to respond, that the language was fine. I can help you with the language. But in terms of setting a figure, that's the Commission's responsibility.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: And that's rates and -- and so, Mr. Chairman, have we looked at it in our rates panel, to see where we could set a cost that would either take the surcharge off or at rate there, put the surcharge on it? Have we done any study on it? That's only --

	1
	2
	3
	_
	4
	5
	6
	7
	/
	8
	9
1	0
	1
1	_
1	
1	3
1 1	4
1	5
1	6 7
1	Q
1	0
I	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	2
2	
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	/
2	8
2	9
3	8 9 0
	1
3	
3	3
3	4
	5
	6
3	7
3	8
3	
_	0
4	
4	2

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The only thing that I have for you, I have -- we have a list of all of the cab -- I mean, all of the gasoline fees, as they go up and down, up and down, up and down. I do know that -- COMMISSIONER ALLEN: But there's an average. So,

they should --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, it fluctuates so much. On any given day, it's liable to go up 20 cents, then all the sudden, the next day

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, but we can -- the rates -- it's being turned over to the panel or the --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It is and I think that instead of keep trying to go with gas surcharges, that we need to go ahead and look at the permanent rate increase.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: But the only thing by permanent rate increase, Mr. Chairman, that I would like to bring up is the fact that if we go to meters, the permanent rate increase don't mean nothing.

So, all this -- it's January now, Mr. Chairman, okay, so, we're talking about the new meter rate is suppose to go into effect April 1st, the time frame of -- but I was just wondering -- what we had discussed -- that's where I was.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I understand that.

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: I mean, I think generally, we just looked at the rates in the Washington metropolitan area and then make a decision, based on that, and I think we should because if we're talking be possibly going to time and distance meters, it wouldn't make sense to me, to starting talking about permanent rates right now.

And so, we should just deal with what needs to be done and that's making sure that the drivers aren't hurt with this latest increase. I think we're at \$3.09, I think, was the last I heard.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It depends on where you go, just like everywhere else. The thing about it is, we're sitting here talking about a gas surcharge, but the other part is simply this, take it to the panel, go here, set whatever it is you'd like to have set and bring it back.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I have a question.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott?

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: What have the meters got to do with the gasoline? You're going to have to pay gasoline whether you've got a meter or not. So, what are we talking about? I'm not following. I'd like to -- explain, what does a meter got to do with the price of gasoline?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The meter has to do with the price -- nobody said the meter had anything to do with the price of gasoline. What was basically said is to go ahead, take a look at a permanent rate increase, do it while everything else is up on the meter, the meter prices and

43

	1
	2
	ے 3
	<i>3</i>
	4 5
	<i>5</i>
	7
	8
	o 9
1	0
1	1
1	
1	ے 3
1	ر 1
1	4 5 6
1	S 6
1	6 7
1	8
1	9
7	0
2	
2	
2	
2	1
2	5
2	6
2	6 7
2	0
2	8 9 0
2	クハ
_	
3	
3	
3	_
3	
3	-
3	
_	8
3	9
4	-
4	1

43

44

330

everything else, and instead of going back and forth -- let's say that all of the sudden, the Mayor tells me that he wants me to reduce the rate to \$3.25.

I get up there and I've got the documentation from the panel of rates and rules and say, "Mr. Mayor, that may be all well and good." I can go ahead and justify increasing that rate.

I have no idea what the Mayor is going to say. All I'm going to do is present him with all the information and I'm going to tell him how I feel. All I'm asking you to do is give me some facts that I can go in there and tell the Mayor, "Mr. Mayor, you may want this and I see it this way." Tell you what, \$3.15 or \$3.25 or something like that may be too low. We need to go ahead.

I'm looking for a permanent rate increase that I can add onto this and all I'm asking you to do is to send it back. We've got until April. If we go to the meters, the thing is not going to be programmed overnight. You can easily change the program rate. I'm just asking for something to go ahead and get us a permanent increase.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Let me just say, I'm very concerned about the language and particularly, for the riding public. There are two things.

First, my first concern was just about the level of confusion for the public and ourselves, that we're discussing rate review and meter rate all at the same time.

I hear your point, that we should just go forward with the Commission's work and do it as we're required to do it, and I think that that's fine, and whatever happens with the meters, happens with the meters.

I am concerned, however, that given the sentiments that we're hearing from the public, that we are also -- I mean, taking this from the perspective of a rate increase and if we're going to have a rate increase, then I really think that we've got to have some serious discussion.

Earlier, Mr. Tapscott raised the issue of SUV's being put on the street. I mean, our regulations are going to have to begin looking at fuel efficient cars, because we should not be one, destroying the environment, but secondly, we should not be paying for people to use vehicles that shouldn't be -- I mean, why are we allowing SUV's on the street, and then to have people say they can't afford the gas?

So, if it's their choice, to drive an SUV, then let them pay

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, the same could be said for cars that are 10 to 15 years old.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Right, that's what I mean, and so, let's not be making this about we're doing rate increases because of the cost of gas. We're doing rate increases because we have an aging fleet of

(202) 234-4433

the cost.

1	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
<i>3</i>	
5	
6	
8	
9	
10	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228	
28	
29 30	
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35	
35 36 37	
37	

cars that cost a lot.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I know.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Which is why no other city is having to do these constant rate increases, and so, I want to be competitive, and I not only want to be competitive, I want to be competitive with the rest of the country, because I want our citizens to live here and I want our tourists to come here, and I just don't think that we're having a responsible conversation.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, the thing about it, Mr. Baker, is that we're required to do a rate review.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: And that's fine, but we're not required to do a rate increase.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, I didn't say we were required to do a rate increase. All I'm saying is that -- so, we won't come back here three months later and say, "Well, we didn't do this, we didn't do that."

Let's get all of the information right now, look at it and if it's warranted, we'll do it.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: If it's warranted, but let's not stack the deck.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I'm not trying to stack the deck. I'm just trying to stack some information, so that if somebody asks a question, that at least we can say we did this, this and this.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Next?

MR. BEYAH: Thank you, again, Mr. Swain. Just to add to my concern about the surcharge, I think that if we looked at any other industry or entity that provides products to the consumer, they automatically figure in the cost of fuel and the cost of their goods that they sell, because it affects their overhead.

Why isn't -- why doesn't the Commission look at that, in regards to the taxicab, because gasoline costs affect our overhead?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Not only gasoline costs, but tires and everything else.

MR. BEYAH: We're talking about gasoline costs here.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: We're talking about gasoline charges, but the thing about it is that when you start talking about a permanent rate increase, all of that has to be taken into consideration to.

But all I'm asking is, let's gather the information and make a reasonable decision.

MR. BEYAH: Gather what information?

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: What it costs for you to operate

your business.

MR. BEYAH: Well, that's a horse of a different color.

333 334

335

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It may be a horse of a different
2	color, but
3	MR. BEYAH: You're talking about the impact of
4	gasoline the cost on us today.
5	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: And I understand that, sir, and
6	will take that under advisement.
7	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Do we have a surcharge on,
8	at the present time?
9	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, we do.
10	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: When does it expire?
11	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The 29 th .
12	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: What can we do as a
13	Commission, to either just let it die on the 29 th , to expand it or what
14	what's the next step? As of the 29 th , is it all over? We no longer have a
15	surcharge or what, and because of the way we put it on because of the gas
16	prices, are we going to let it lapse on the 29 th or are we going to extend it,
17	because we only had it for what, 60 days instead of 120 days, which is the
18	normal which is
19	MS. THOMPSON: Excuse me, please, we end up with
20	really bad transcripts.
21	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay. So, does that I
22	think that that's instead of anybody coming up and saying, are you going
23	to let it drop, are we going to have it? I think that's the bottom line, what
24	are we going to do as of the 29 th of this month? I think that's the question.
25 26	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: What do you want to do? COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Extend it. I don't even feel
27	like I mean, we've done it and I will personally say that we extend it for
$\frac{27}{28}$	whatever the normal length of time is. By that time, we'll be at the rate,
29	we'll be at the meter, we'll be at the and all of it can either be dissolved
30	resolved and we don't have to do it anymore, because we'll include in your
31	new rule making, when you do the new rules for the meters.
32	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Gas surcharges doesn't come
33	under the meters.
34	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I said it can be done at the
35	same time. I didn't say it was
36	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, you said included in.
37	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, but included in the
38	meeting, that we make a decision. It can all be done in April or whatever
39	the time frame is, rather than to do one point now, one point the next day
40	and one point the next day.
41	So, we would be able to be inclusive in this fee structure
42	that we will be going crazy with, by that time.
43	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Are you making a motion?
44	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I move that we keep the

1	surcharge until what, April 1st or 120 days, which ever comes sooner.
2	MS. THOMPSON: The surcharge, it has to be a specific
3	date.
4	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, I don't have a
5	calendar in front of me and I
6	MS. THOMPSON: No, you don't have to worry about
7	the exact date. You just have to decide how many days.
8	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: One-hundred-twenty days.
9	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: I second that.
0	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Motion has been made by
11	Commissioner Allen. It's been seconded by Ms. Travis. Any questions?
12	Call for the vote. Everybody in favor, say `aye'.
13	(Chorus of ayes.)
4	MS. THOMPSON: I'm sorry, before we call for the vote,
15	I do want to caution that one of the concerns that I asked you to send
6	something around to the Commissioners about this is, the concerns by the
17	riding public, that they are not notified when there is discussion or
18	something on the agenda for a rate increase.
19	So, that is I just I presume you sent it to the
20	Commissioners. I just want to repeat it. That's my job, to let you know that that's what's required in your regulations, that in essence, you do notify.
21	What is happening here today, for example, we probably
22	have
22 23 24 25 26	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: It's an extension.
55	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: It's the same.
26	MS. THOMPSON: But it's not an extension. It's a new
27	what will happen, Ms. Allen, is, this is it's an extension of what was done,
28	but to the extent that it may not occur, for example, because of the stickers
29	immediately, you may end up with a break.
30	But I do want to just caution that that is in your
31	regulations, that there is rate increases are suppose to go to your panel on
32	rates and rules.
33	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You're right.
34	MS. THOMPSON: One of the questions we do get from
35	the public is, when was this when the public was notified that the
36	Commission would be considering
37	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: For public comment
38	MS. THOMPSON: a rate increase, so that they could
39	also comment.
10	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Right.
11	MS. THOMPSON: We've gotten a lot of those. So, I just
12	want to put that out there.
13	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Madam General Counsel, in
14	an emergency, do we have to go through that same process?

(202) 234-4433

1	MS. THOMPSON: There's actually no specific provision
$\frac{1}{2}$	in there, referring to an emergency.
$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: And if we do it today in
4	fact, up until the 29 th , the public would have a chance to hear that it will be
5	extended from the
6	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: They'll have an opportunity to
7	speak to it.
8	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: But if we did as emergency
9	ruling, they haven't had
10	MS. THOMPSON: No, emergency when you do the
11	emergency rule making, it's not as though somebody comments and there is
12	
13	a change. Emergency rule making is essentially just notifying them, that
14	you took emergency action.
15	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: And so, can this not be
	taken as emergency action? Are you telling me that this cannot be taken as
16	emergency action, with us voting today and then notifying that we took the
17	action?
18	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I will tell you that the last time
19	we did it, I received a lot of e-mails telling me that the public did not get an
20	opportunity to give their comments.
21	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, I understand that, Mr
22	Chairman, but I'm saying, is there anything forbidding us, other than the
23	comments and the calls you received, from taking this action at this time?
24	MS. THOMPSON: Is there something that prohibits you
25	from taking emergency action?
26	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes.
27	MS. THOMPSON: In fact, my recollection and I'm
28	sorry, I didn't bring I can go grab my book, DCMR. It doesn't actually
29	refer to emergency rule making.
30	Emergency rule making obviously occurs. The District
31	Government takes those kinds of actions, District Government agencies
32	It's just that I'm just referring to what's in your regulations. Your
33	regulations are essentially talking about rate making and it goes to the panel
34	I just want to say that.
35	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: But there's nothing ever
36	in the regulations, to indicate when we can take emergency action?
37	MS. THOMPSON: We've done it every time and
38	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: And has there been a
39	precedent set for that?
40	MS. THOMPSON: Yes, it has.
41	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I'm just asking about
42	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: The only thing I'm saying is that I
43	didn't know
44	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I'm more worried about

(202) 234-4433

1	telephone calls. All right, would you rather that I withdraw this thing and
2	say we have another meeting on this and I'm not saying that I'm going to.
3	I just asked. Would that be preferable, that we withdraw the amendment,
4	have an emergency meeting, which would make us comply with whatever
5	this is?
6	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Whatever this is, it's allowing the
7	public to comment.
8	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: How much time do we have
9	to give the public to comment? That's the question.
0	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, just because we haven't
1	done it in the past, doesn't mean that it's not right to do it.
2	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, and not saying I'm
13	just saying how much time do we have to give the public to comment
4	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: At least seven days notice.
15	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Seven days notice, and
6	today is what?
17	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Today is the 9 th .
8	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay. So, if we did it
9	today, they have to
20	MS. THOMPSON: I'm not sure if it's seven days notice.
21	I'm not sure
22	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, I know when I do
23	something today, it's seven days. I will check on that and give you a call.
24	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, but in the meantime,
25	let us pass this amendment that's on the floor and if it is anything that
26	cannot we cannot do under with efficiency, then it can't go forward.
27	So, I'm asking that we pass the amendment that I put on
28	the floor.
29	COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: The motion is on the floor
30	to pass it.
31	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: And we're discussing it.
32	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: And we've been in
33	discussion.
34	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: All right, so, what you're saying,
35	that if we find out that there's something
36	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: That is not legally
37	sufficient, then we know we cannot go forward.
38	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Are you going to tell me okay,
39	and all I have to do is notify you?
10	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: That's right.
11	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No, no, you have to notify
13	the Commissioners.
14	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well I know that

3

4

		5
		6
		/
		8
		9
	1	9
	1	1
		1
	1	
	1	3
	1	4
	1	5
	1	1
	1	6 7 8
	1	7
	1	8
	1	9
	ำ	0
	2	
	2	2
	2	2
	2	
	<u>~</u> っ	5
	2	2
	2	6
	2	7
	2	8 9
	2	9
	_ 3	0
	3	
	3	2
	3	3 4
	3	4
	3	5
	ン つ	_
	د	6
		7
	3	8
		9
	_	0
	4	
	4	1
	4	2
	4	3
	4	4
	•	-
		362
3	6	3

364

365

366

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Yes, that is was not legally sufficient, and then show me, of course, why it wasn't legally sufficient. You know I'm -- Mr. Swain, you know me well enough to know I'm going to ask you why.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: You know me well enough to know that if I sent you something, I'm going to send you the reason why.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Well, anyway, if you -- CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Hold on, I need to talk to the

legal counsel.

we can with ---

MS. THOMPSON: I don't see anything wrong with that. CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay.

MS. THOMPSON: The Commission will either have to reconvene or -- I'm not sure how you would make the decision, as to if there is some disagreement --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: But it's not something that

MS. THOMPSON: But the important thing is -- I'm sorry, Mr. Tapscott. But the important thing is, I think at some point, we do put the public on notice, that we are considering a rate increase. That seems to be the big issue, that we're coming to the meetings and someone gets up and says, "We need a surcharge," but the public doesn't have a chance to comment. That seems to be the -- the rules were structured that way, that in essence -- if we had had the rate increase on the agenda and --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: It's not a rate increase. We don't want any confusion. A surcharge on the agenda.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Yes, sir, Mr. Baker?

COMMISSIONER BAKER: I don't want to play semantics or games with this, Ms. Allen. I mean, the public is paying more and whether it's a surcharge or a rate -- granted, they're paying it now, but they've already expressed a lot of concern.

People are expressing it through the meter regulation and I just don't think we can be dismissive of the public, especially as we're entering to a recessionary time, where everyone is hurting.

And so, this is going to pass. Let's move on. Let's quit discussing it. Let's keep the surcharge in effect that's in effect, but let's not play games with the public. They pay the bill and just as every other business has to look at, yes, there are costs that are going up. They have to cut back on something else, because people are starting to hurt, and if the industry wants to, then -- I mean, they don't really have concerns about whether people are cutting back or not.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: So, you've got to call for the -- Mr. Chairman -- for the vote.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I did, but you stopped me. COMMISSIONER ALLEN: All right, well, then you --

1	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: I did call for the vote.
2	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: All in favor
3	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No, we didn't.
4	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: No, nobody voted, because
5	she stopped me and it wasn't me.
6	MS. THOMPSON: Could you make sure that you have
7	what the motion is?
8	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: State your motion again, Ms
9	Allen.
10	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, the motion is that gas
11	surcharge for gas be extended 120 days after the 29 th of January, which
12	gives an order Mr. Baker, it gives the public an opportunity to have some
13	input.
14	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: But that doesn't
15	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Okay, take that out, jus-
16	extend it. Just extend it 120 days, okay. Yes, the gas. Now, can we hear the
17	vote?
18	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: All right, call for the vote. All in
19	favor for the extension, say 'aye'.
20	ALL: Aye.
21	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: No. Question, the last
22	time a couple of years ago, I don't know I'm just asking a question. The
23	last time we tried to do this a few years ago
24	COMMISSIONER ALLEN: I wasn't here.
25	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: there was some rule
26	that we was told and whether it's true or not by the General Counsel
27	and the Chairman, that we could only do it so many times.
28	COMMISSIONER BAKER: That's right.
29	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: We could only do it so
30	many times, legally.
31	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: All right.
32	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I'm just asking
33	bringing that up. I'm not going to
34	MS. THOMPSON: I'm not familiar with.
35	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: Yes, but that's what we
36	was told the last time.
37	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay.
38	MS. THOMPSON: So, Ms. Allen is saying that her
39	motion is that the surcharge be extended for 120 days, if legally sufficient.
40	COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: That's great.
41	MS. THOMPSON: So, we can check to see about this
42	CHAIRMAN SWAIN: And if it's not it doesn't go into
43	effect, all right. Mr. Baker, did you hear that, sir?
44	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I did. That didn't address

2

		3
		4
		5
		6
		7
		8
		9
	1	ó
	1	1
	1	2
	1	<u>۔</u> ع
	1	1
	1	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0
	1	5
	1	7
	1	0
	1	o o
	1	9
	2	U
	2	l
	2	2
	2	01234567890123
	2	4
	2	5
	2	6
	2	7
	2	8
	2	9
	3	0
	3	1
	3	2
	3	3
	3	4
	3	5
	3	6
	3	7
	3	8
		9
		0
	4	
	4	
	4	3
	4	4
	ľ	•
		374
3	7	5

376

377

378

my concerns, but it's --

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: Well, your concern is the public and I think --

(OTR comments)

COMMISSIONER TRAVIS: Generally, it's 120 days. So, if we've only done 60 days -- if we can't do it for 120, following the 60 days, can we do at least another 60 days, which would give you 120 days?

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: It's just an extension.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: All right.

MS. THOMPSON: What Mr. Tapscott is saying, we've had just a series of surcharges and so, I'm not sure what they were looking at, when they said you can only do, but some of the times.

COMMISSIONER TAPSCOTT: I don't know either, but that's what we was told.

MS. THOMPSON: So, I'll have to -- there may have been an opinion from our Legal Counsel Division, because the District does have a lot of concern about agencies taking emergency action.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Emergency legislation.

MS. THOMPSON: Because in essence, what it does is, it removes the public's ability to comment, and so, that's the reason we brought up the permanent rate increase because that will allow us to put it on the agenda. It would be on the agenda for February and that way, we get the notice out to the public and in essence, we get passed that business of taking these emergency actions.

That's probably what this was, because what it does, it's not good due process.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Well, we can -- hold on, hold on, hold on. We can meet in February. That doesn't bother me. Okay, Mr. Baker, go ahead, sir.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: I mean, we've passed this, but I just want to say, part of the reason I'm concerned about the public commenting here and being able -- and our not dismissing the public's voice, is we talk about democracy, when it comes to the rule regulations about the meter, not just letting the Mayor the Chairman or anybody --

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Baker, it failed.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: It failed? I thought it

passed.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: No. COMMISSIONER BAKER: Okay.

(OTR comments)

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Mr. Tapscott, the correction has been made, okay. Okay, the motion has passed. I'm looking for a motion from you, ma'am.

COMMISSIONER ALLEN: It looks like every time I

read the minutes, I'm the one that says this, but I move that this meeting be adjourned.

COMMISSIONER BAKER: Second.

CHAIRMAN SWAIN: Okay.